Abstract

Physicists are generally trained in the Standard Model of Physics (SMP). This implies that they perceive and account for only 3 dimensions of space in a moment in time (3S-1t) (a 4-dimensional [4D] model). However, applying the SMP, more than fifty significant conundrums have arisen that are unexplained or incomplete. Explaining these within the SMP 4D fabric led to hypothesizing a 'fifth force', most recently the hypothetical 'X17 particle'. We propose this hypothetical X17 may better be explained by a 9-dimensional model (9D) with gimmel. Our model, the Neppe-Close Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm (TDVP) has amplified the 'physics' from 4 dimensions to 9D, specifically first postulating and then further demonstrating mathematically—starting with derivations of the Cabibbo angle—that 9 dimensions must exist. Moreover, this data is empirically demonstrated because the neutron, proton and electron mass-energy-gimmel equivalence in the Triadic Rotational Units of Equivalence (TRUE) as part of the TDVP model, exactly corresponds with the normalized data for the mass-energy equivalence volumetric data for these particles in the CERN Large Hadron Collider. This data shows definitively that we exist in a 9-dimensional finite, quantized, volumetric, spinning reality. This is, furthermore, embedded in an infinite continuity (9D+). Mathematically, applying this 9D+ model definitively requires an extra third component that is massless and energyless ('gimmel'). Without gimmel, no particle in the universe would be stable. TDVP unifies nature because the same laws apply across the quantum, macro-world and cosmological reality. Our 4D experience is simply the physical component of 9D+ existence.

Summary Amplification: At all levels, there is the consistent application of a 9-Dimensional quantized finite reality embedded within an infinite continuity. The application of gimmel specifically requires applying the 9-dimensional model and is based on necessary mathematical calculations not only at the quantal level (where the fifty plus unsolved, unexplained or contradictory conundrums can be explained somewhat, and there is no longer 'quantum weirdness'), but at the macroscale level with more gimmel in the life elements (which, additionally, are consistently alike cubic multiples of 108 cubed), as well as cosmologically, where the correlations with proportionate Dark Matter and Dark Energy are overwhelming. Moreover, these 9-dimensional plus factors together with Triadic Rotational Units of Equivalence (TRUE) and gimmel, allow numerous solutions that couldn't otherwise be solved. For example, importantly, applying the simple mathematics of TRUE, we can demonstrate why gluons, while adequate in 4D, are impossible applying 9D. These solutions are simpler because we have markedly adapted George Spencer-Brown's 'Laws of Form' to applying a new method of mathematical calculation, Edward Close's 'Calculus of Distinctions' (COD) which recognizes quantal limits and that the nature of finite quantity is quantized and volumetric. The COD includes distinguishing between content, extent, and impact. We emphasize the pioneering works of Wolfgang Pauli with his multidimensional model and his 'Pauli Exclusion Principle', Alfred Whitehead with 'Process Philosophy' and his 'Principia Mathematica' (with Bertrand Russell), Georg Cantor with Set Theory, and Roger Penrose with spinors and twistors. TDVP is a prime example of our broad new specialty of 'Dimensional Biopsychophysics' (DBP), DBP extends physics, consciousness, and the biopsychosocial to extra dimensions and applies mathematics empirically. Like Max Tegmark, we recognize the key role of mathematics as fundamental in nature, not just for application in calculation and operations.

Introduction

Most physicists abide by the Standard Model of Physics: They are taught to perceive the quantal universe as separate in laws to the macro-universe. Moreover, these governing laws are accepted as different from the rules relating to the cosmological universe. Effectively, it might be that quantal mechanics, our regular world and the cosmological realities almost by definition seem to be governed by their own independent laws. These scientists might, nevertheless, recognize contradictions, conundrums and unexplained concepts, and even understand that these laws might represent
limited pieces of an incomplete jigsaw puzzle. Nevertheless, they might think there is nothing they can do: "It's just how it is. It shows that nature is not inherently and consistently logical and we must simply accept that fact."

In this paper, we're trying to bridge a gap between 4 and 9 dimensions, with additionally, the 'infinite continuity' combined with the 'discrete finite'.

With great respect, the authors have recognized that the laws of nature require significant additions to be unified and internally consistent. This means the information in this paper moving from 4D to 9D physics does not just require minor changes. Certain contradictions exist and many phenomena are unexplained, and although the ideas we discuss below are based on math and empirical science, the usual physicist trained only in a 4-dimensional model of experiencing reality, might see the 9-dimensional work we've pioneered as "speculative from our physical point of view". That same physical view to us appears truncated or filtered, and, we argue might be cogently explained if one examines a broader reality.

We're trying to clarify how scientists who've been trained in the current paradigm of the Standard Model of Physics (SMP), might see their paradigm as almost perfect and just needing to be fine-tuned. However, we see the SMP as markedly imperfect and needing to be extended and expanded across dimensions. We have called this broader discipline 'Dimensional Biopsychophysics'. Therefore, we have needed to apply some terminology that is new and possibly unfamiliar to the reader. However, we recognize that we must make our laws of nature work together as one. We think we have done this.

**Historical background**

For more than a century, scientists have attempted without success to develop a 'theory of everything' [1-4]. For some physicists this has been restricted solely to finding solutions in quantum physics. However, others have ignored extending this to dark matter and dark energy, and some have concentrated purely on the cosmological not the quantal mechanical. Biologists have recognized life and often ignored the quantal and cosmological. Still other researchers have focused mainly on the elements that are involved in terms of inorganic and organic chemistry. Then there are those who have purely studied consciousness without evaluating these other areas. These have all reflected mysteries that have befuddled even Einstein [5], who supposedly spent much of the last 20 years of his life [6-9], trying to find a theory that integrated all known forces [10].

This attempt at creating a model that explains information of various facets of physics has been referred to as the Unified Field Theory (UFT) [11]. Physicists have hoped to construct this UFT theory [11], which would coherently explain quarks and subatomic particles through to all cosmic forces including the formation of galaxies and dark matter and energy and so unify all of finite reality [11-13].

The much-desired Unified Field Theory [11], concept is sometimes referred to as a "Theory of Everything" (TOE) [14], A TOE is a commonly applied term, but ambiguous in regard to more than one context (physical or general) for a complete explanatory model of reality conforming to the laws of nature. TOEs should seamlessly reconcile with all the major theoretical models and authoritative sources of all the sciences and mathematics, but should not be construed as reflecting omniscience, instead implying application of universal principles. TOEs are sometimes regarded as primarily philosophical, yet with the original, limited meaning related exclusively to Physics [15]. We disagree with the term 'TOE' because it is ambiguous, and its use can be misinterpreted. We've instead proposed the term Metaparadigm [16]. This refers to the broadest paradigm impacting all sciences, mathematics and philosophy.

In 2011, the authors proposed such a metaparadigm, and called it the 'Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm' (or TDVP) also synonymously called Triadic Dimensional (Distinction) Vortical Paradigm TDdVP, because it necessarily involves the Dimensional Triads of Space-time-consciousness in rotating movements (vortices) [17].

The predecessors of the early involvement of consciousness, mathematics and physics?

We link up here several earlier thinkers: Alfred North Whitehead, English mathematician and philosopher, [18-20] with Bertrand Russell [21,22], Georg Cantor [23] and George Spencer Brown [24]. These great names lead to some later thinkers like David Chalmers, who recognized psychophysical law' [25,26] and Abner Shimony [27] modifying Whitehead [18,21,22] who confronted the problems of consciousness head on. Additionally, Max Tegmark, [28] like the authors, and following on ancient Greeks like Pythagoras and Plato [29-31] (but with a retrospectscope of modernity!) has been prepared to argue for mathematics being fundamental to nature and reality and not just a calculus or operation [15,32,33].

Consciousness is recognized more than before even though it's not the prevailing view! [15 p227].

The idea of the quantum frames of reference with consciousness having direct relationships with mathematics and physics is therefore not new. Even in 1929, Whitehead, who is best known as the defining figure of the philosophical school known as 'process philosophy' [18-20] posited that quantum mechanics perceived the universe as a process of events, at least some of which are imbued with a mental quality ("throb, or occasions of experience") [18,21]. Whitehead's polymathic contributions have today found application to a
wide variety of disciplines, including not only mathematics, logic, and physics, but ecology, theology, education, physics, biology, economics, and psychology. However, likely Whitehead’s most notable work in these fields is the three-volume 1910–1913 Principia Mathematica (PM) [22] (with numerous revisions thereafter), written in modernized logical notation with his former student Bertrand Russell. Whitehead and Russell introduced a complex system now called “the ramified theory of types” [21]. After the introduction of a theory of sets, or ‘classes’, the system of PM can be compared with the early development of Georg Cantor’s Set Theory [23]. Whitehead’s work also preceded, though is very different from with the early development of Georg Cantor’s Set Theory [23].

George Spencer Brown in his 1969 classic book Laws of Form [24] amplified several of these mathematical-philosophical predecessors of the structure of reality and brought a third type that is into logic, that is equivalent to the imaginary (or complex) numbers in pure math. This was the key component that led to a breakthrough by the authors ‘Close’s Calculus of Distinctions’ (COD) [34-37]. COD applies the most basic methods of logic, and recognizes these sets have empirical bases and that there are limits to the Newtonian-Leibnizian infinitesimal calculus’ [38,39]. With the COD, much of nature can be handled as integers, simplifying mathematical interpretations considerably [40].

The triadic dimensional vortical paradigm

TDVP is now sometimes referred to as the most complete of the “Theories of Everything” (TOEs) [14], because, after thorough detailed comparative analysis, it fits a broader range of objective criteria.

For example, when applying objective, peer-reviewed metric comparisons to 24 TOEs, TDVP scores far the highest with a perfect score (39/39) [16]. However, these listed criteria are relatively non-specific and notably do not include the unifying of gravitation and relativity. Extending TDVP in later work, applying even broader criteria, Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm still scores ‘perfectly’ even with some seventy broad criteria [47,48]. There remain unexplained areas in this model, but the TOE of TDVP is far, far closer than scientific exploration has ever been.

With respect, we have scientific evidence that our ‘gimmel’ discovered through mathematical analysis, and our ‘9-dimensional’ scientific proofs explain many unsolved conundrums, and moreover, unify the quantal with the macro-world and cosmology [47,48]. We explain these briefly:

‘Dimensional Biopsychophysics’ (DBP) is the term we developed in 2014 [15] for the broad new specialty recognizing the need for extra dimensions, and incorporating ‘consciousness’ in its broadest context, including consciousness outside the brain. DBP extends physics, consciousness, and the biopsychosocial, and applies mathematics empirically. The TDVP model is a prime example of DBP. Initially, in 2011, we did not know for certain how many dimensions were involved but we postulated that there had to be specifically 9 finite quantized dimensions. We then proved that hypothesis mathematically. Moreover, we also realized that for our model to be complete, there had to be something different outside the finite dimensional box. That required postulating an infinite continuity that was part of the whole, and it fitted with Georg Cantor’s ideas of infinity and the infinity of infinities [23] as well as maintaining a way to provide a consistent logical theory that would not compromise Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem (GIT) [49].

9-dimensional finite reality reflects our existence as measured by the extent in Space, Time and a third dimensional substrate which we propose is ‘Gimmel-Consciousness’. These are all tethered together geometrically so that the concept of ‘Minkowski Space-Time’ [49] has now been extended to [50]. ‘Space-Time-Gimmel Consciousness’ (STC) [47,48]. STC incorporates our physical experience of 3S-1t (3 spatial dimensions in a single quantum of time, namely the present ‘t’). However, 3S-1t is recognized as embedded within the 9-dimensional finite reality [47,48]. While we have not yet defined exactly what these 9 dimensions are, that is not a critical aspect of TDVP. However, based on the supporting math and logic, we have proposed that the three Spatial dimensions extend far beyond the physical. More controversially, we propose that there are 3 dimensions of Time (not just linear ‘past-present-future’) and even more so, 3 dimensions of Gimmel-Consciousness [47,48]. These dimensions are dynamic in that they might fluctuate depending on relative circumstances [47,48,51].

‘Gimmel’ is the newly discovered third substance. We published the mathematical derivation of gimmel in 2015 [51]—gimmel is necessarily massless and energyless. We use the term Gimmel because we don’t know if we’re necessarily referring to consciousness itself, or simply a vehicle of consciousness, or some kind of carrier of consciousness. No one has yet offered a viable alternative explanation that does not implicate gimmel with some kind of Consciousness [52].

Whether using the term ‘gimmel’ or ‘consciousness’, these concepts are massless, and energyless. They still necessarily and always contribute to the 9D fabrics of atomic structure and substructures at the quantized finite level.
Mathematically, gimmel necessarily has to exist in union with any particle in the universe for that particle to be stable. Without gimmel, the spinning (vortical) atoms would be unstable and asymmetrical about their axes and would, in effect, fly apart: Our world and the physical universe could not exist [53]. Gimmel is necessarily in union with all stable particles because that allows rotation along axes providing the obligatory atomic stability [52]. Gimmel is proven mathematically and necessary because everything in reality must balance with volumetric calculations and that would not happen if we just had, for example, protons plus neutrons plus electrons equaling atoms. This would create an inequality not happen if we just had, for example, protons plus neutrons plus electrons equaling atoms. This would create an inequality.

However, with respect, we propose that the idea of a fifth force after electromagnetism, the strong and weak forces, and gravitation might turn out to be unnecessary. We argue that these researchers might have detected the effects of gimmel [55,56,64] and might find application of the 9-dimensional (9D) matrix [48,65,66]. This is important, particularly in the context of the different atomic shells and valences in the Periodic Table [43,57]. This possibly impacts the volumetric measurement of the two different angles in two elements that they have described. What is the data on several other elements? What pattern, if any, can be found?

These Krasznahorkay, et al., findings relate to their new discovery of ‘X17’ and this is regarded as reflecting a new ‘force’ relating to the Krasznahorkay, et al., research proof [62], based on particles coming off beryllium-8 at around a 140-degree angle. This was ‘strange and new’. Their previous work was with Helium where a 115-degree angle was also unexplained. “They’re leading us closer to what’s considered the Holy Grail in physics, which Albert Einstein had pursued but never achieved” [62]. That quotation is true: Einstein spent the last two decades of his life trying to find in effect extra dimensions but ignored the volumetric nature of rotating elementary particles (just as Planck had done, as well), 9-dimensions specifically, and gimmel [48,65-69]. But the “they’re” may refer to others.

This is so because the proven, though not well-known, features of 9D and gimmel have simply not been considered, yet at least could provide a legitimate alternative hypothesis to explain these Hungarian findings better than a new unexplained ‘fifth force’, that might imply even a sixth or seventh force or more according to Dr. Feng [63]. Moreover, 9D [70] and gimmel [43,51,56] have profound empirical and math explanatory support.

We illustrate this point with a critically important aside: We mathematically demonstrated by calculation why the Cabibbo Mixing angle was 13.04 ± 0.05 degrees. This was the first major finding initially demonstrating the necessity of a 9-dimensional quantized finite model. That proof was only demonstrable through a 9-dimensional mathematical derivation, providing the reason why no-one before that time (2014) had been able to do that calculation because they had worked only with 3S-1t [14,71] or possibly with unsubstantiated theories of multidimensionality like strings and superstrings, which remain unproven and are likely
fundamentally flawed, because despite at least allowing some ten thousand scientists to seriously contemplate such multidimensional realities over many years, no one has been able to prove any of the models [72-76].

We definitively proved mathematically that 9-dimensional spin model through that careful derivation of the Cabibbo Mixing angle [14]. We applied well-defined physics, well-substantiated empirical data, including well defined constants such as the Bohr radius (radius of the hydrogen atom), speed of light, Planck’s constant, rest mass of the electron, its radius and charge, the Coulomb constant and π. With these, we added well-defined equations and principles, such as the Lorentz correction, the principle of conservation of angular momentum, kinetic energy equation, De Broglie’s wave equation, Coulomb’s equation, the centrifugal force equation, the wave length of a rotating body and calculations of magnetic moment [14]. We applied these to electron rotation and its inherent spin utilizing the basic concepts of a unified space-time-consciousness theory of finite reality from the Neppe-Close Triadic Dimensional Distinction Vortical Paradigm (TDVP or TDDVP as ‘distinction’ is optional). These included applying two new mathematical techniques that we have developed as part of this TDVP model [14], namely ‘dimensional extrapolation’ across rotating dimensions [77] and the principles of the ‘calculus of distinctions’ [37].

Distinctions are very basic ways of conceptualizing separations into different groups. Dr. Close’s ‘calculus of distinctions’ is more than just a non-Newtonian calculus, it subsumes mathematics, set theory and logic under a common umbrella, and integrates these empirically with physics and nature in a way that is unique. The CoD creates a remarkable bridge between elementary symbolic logic and higher level mathematical structures [42,61].

We produced a detailed mathematical derivation of the mixing angle of elementary particle fermions, exemplified by the Cabibbo angle in quarks with the empirical calculation 13.032°. We further showed that this result could only be derived from a 9-dimensional mathematical spin model. This finding also supported a component of the broader Triadic Dimensional Distinction Vortical Paradigm (TDVP) hypothesis [15], namely that the finite reality consists of a 9-dimensional vortical (spinning) geometric (‘dimensionometric’) structure [14,71,78,79].

We argued that researchers in Dimensional Biopsychophysics might still use 9D and gimmel, but would need to apply a very different method to explain why the angles in Be and He are very different. In this instance, as described, the Hungarian researchers appear to be dealing with physical angles and not just vector space. Nevertheless, it just might be possible that these Krasznahorkay, et al., angles may be calculated applying similar 9-D mathematics using the fundamental TDVP (Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm) principles, although their derivations appear to be disparate compared with the Cabibbo angle derivation [4,56]. The key might be finding a consistency in techniques between calculating the 9-dimensional finding of Helium at 115° and Beryllium at 140°. One approach could be possibly through re-examining the Periodic Table of the Elements in the context of valence and electron shells [43,57] applying Triadic Rotational Units of Equivalence [52].

Let’s review the pertinent history, as we can back up our points by the empirical information TDVP explains with mathematical proofs: The first limitation leading to a lack of solutions of previous mathematicians is, in our opinion, a very basic one. The earlier multidimensional researchers (such as Kaluza and Klein [81-85], and Pauli [85] and (and relatively later) Rauscher [86], String theory and Superstring theorists [73,74,77], should have been dealing with volumes as opposed to non-geometrical components and singularities.

We single out the brilliant Nobel physicist Wolfgang Ernst Pauli, the Austrian-Swiss-American theoretical Nobel physicist who pioneered the ‘Pauli exclusion principle’ [87]. This involved spin theory and was the basis of a theory of the structure of matter. Spin and vortical rotations and electron shells are all pertinent to TDVP and indirectly linked with the Exclusion Principle [88-90]. However, Pauli also worked on developing five- and six-dimensional models until 1953, but didn’t publish his findings because he was bothered by the appearance of what he called “…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]”. Pauli’s multidimensional work has been largely ignored in comparison with his other great contributions. Unfortunately, Pauli never officially published all this, though he talked about it: it came up, apocryphally, in letters with Carl Jung, but it’s well known that he had pursued including more dimensions and went as far as six. And Pauli recognized the ‘scientific and epistemological aspects of the ideas of the unconscious and the changes that needed to occur’ [88-90]. He described ‘nature’s ghost particles’ while describing neutrinos [88]. But, since Pauli’s time, science has discovered that just over 95% of the substance of reality consists of some sort of what Pauli had called ‘…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]’. Pauli’s multidimensional work has been largely ignored in comparison with his other great contributions. Unfortunately, Pauli never officially published all this, though he talked about it: it came up, apocryphally, in letters with Carl Jung, but it’s well known that he had pursued including more dimensions and went as far as six. And Pauli recognized the ‘scientific and epistemological aspects of the ideas of the unconscious and the changes that needed to occur’ [88-90]. He described ‘nature’s ghost particles’ while describing neutrinos [88]. But, since Pauli’s time, science has discovered that just over 95% of the substance of reality consists of some sort of what Pauli had called ‘…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]’. Pauli’s multidimensional work has been largely ignored in comparison with his other great contributions. Unfortunately, Pauli never officially published all this, though he talked about it: it came up, apocryphally, in letters with Carl Jung, but it’s well known that he had pursued including more dimensions and went as far as six. And Pauli recognized the ‘scientific and epistemological aspects of the ideas of the unconscious and the changes that needed to occur’ [88-90]. He described ‘nature’s ghost particles’ while describing neutrinos [88]. But, since Pauli’s time, science has discovered that just over 95% of the substance of reality consists of some sort of what Pauli had called ‘…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]’. Pauli’s multidimensional work has been largely ignored in comparison with his other great contributions. Unfortunately, Pauli never officially published all this, though he talked about it: it came up, apocryphally, in letters with Carl Jung, but it’s well known that he had pursued including more dimensions and went as far as six. And Pauli recognized the ‘scientific and epistemological aspects of the ideas of the unconscious and the changes that needed to occur’ [88-90]. He described ‘nature’s ghost particles’ while describing neutrinos [88]. But, since Pauli’s time, science has discovered that just over 95% of the substance of reality consists of some sort of what Pauli had called ‘…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]’. Pauli’s multidimensional work has been largely ignored in comparison with his other great contributions. Unfortunately, Pauli never officially published all this, though he talked about it: it came up, apocryphally, in letters with Carl Jung, but it’s well known that he had pursued including more dimensions and went as far as six. And Pauli recognized the ‘scientific and epistemological aspects of the ideas of the unconscious and the changes that needed to occur’ [88-90]. He described ‘nature’s ghost particles’ while describing neutrinos [88]. But, since Pauli’s time, science has discovered that just over 95% of the substance of reality consists of some sort of what Pauli had called ‘…rather unphysical shadow particles [5]’.
mathematician and philosopher of science, Sir Roger Penrose who described Twistor Algebra in 1967, and spoke of 'spinors' [92]. He has worked with Stuart Hameroff on a complex model incorporating the brain, consciousness, ‘mind’, and quantum physics [93,94].

Penrose suggested that ‘twistor space’ should be the basic arena for physics from which space-time itself should emerge. Twistors and spinors [92,95,96] allow powerful mathematical methods of application to differential and integral geometry, nonlinear differential equations and representation theory, and in physics to relativity and quantum field theory, in particular to scattering amplitudes. Mathematically, projective twistor space involves a three-dimensional complex manifold. Twistor theory originally encoded physical fields on ‘Minkowski space’[8,50] and then applied twistor space via the ‘Penrose transform’ of arbitrary spin in massless fields [95,97-100]. Twistor string theory was extended first by generalizing the RSV Yang-Mills amplitude formula [101,102] and then by finding the underlying string theory [74,75]. There have been other attempts to extend spinors to the “Infinite tension limit of the pure spinor superstring” [103]. We can add Penrose’s awareness of the relevance of consciousness [93]. These are all pertinent to the Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm where vortices of rotating movements across 9 dimensions and the infinite continuity and a new easier calculating method of the calculus of distinctions 104 allow extensions of many of these ideas [34,35,37]. TDVP describes certainly massless, energyless gimmel, though the extra-dimensional model is beyond typical field theory descriptions [47,105,106] and extends with the infinite continuity enveloping be the empirically demonstrated 9-dimensional finite [15]. We argue that extending spinors and twistors to the empirically feasible Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm and not applying the various String Theories may turn out to be more fruitful and feasible [107-109].

By contrast, the more classical 4D scientists have limited their explanations of the ‘quantum probability wave collapse’ to ‘local’ (immediate space-time) effects and ignored the broader extended dimensions and consciousness. In this regard, we have proposed (what we’ve called) ‘Vortical Indivension’ (VI) as a downstream (and upstream) dimensional mechanism to explain quantum collapse or superposition [109-111]. Indivension provides the mechanism of the process of communication across, between and within different dimensional domains by interfacing the content vortices, with scalars, vectors and tensors if needed. Quantum mechanics protocols are directed and intentioned: So is vortical indivension impacting events vortically ‘horizontally’—across, and ‘vertically’ downwards. We proposed that the changes from multiple co-existing states may occur because VI influences dimensionally. Specific meaningful consciousness might produce the effects observed in 3S-1t.

With great respect, beginning in 2011, Neppe and Close appear to have solved some of these problems [15], any of these solutions were in the First Edition of their book, Reality Begins with Consciousness: A Paradigm Shift that Works [15]. But at that point in time (2011 and 2012) [16], the pieces fitted logically together like incomplete jigsaw puzzle pieces, as Neppe and Close were applying their newly enumerated Philosophy of Science principles of Lower Dimensional Feasibility Absent Falsification (LFAF) [106,107,112]. However, the math was not yet demonstrated. We now have been able to prove, mathematically, that many missing pieces of the puzzle can be, and have been, solved [47].

The first problem that most scientists ignored was construing the three dimensions of space and one quantum in time (3S-1t) [48] of our physical experience as a complete paradigm and trying to analyze everything in that context [48]. Yet, there are well over fifty errors or unsolved conundrums in the Standard Model of Physics [52,53,66,113].

These are generally solved, or markedly clarified through the 9-D TDVP model. Possibly the most overt extra component was applied by Nobel Laureate Murray Gell-Mann, who described "gluons" [114,115]. However, this is another example of a math impossibility in 9-D physics and Dimensional Biopsychophysicsthis that tried to explain everything from the Standard Model of Physics. These ‘gluons’ fit within 3S-1t, implying some extra volume and ‘gluing’ together of the protons and neutrons components so they don’t fly away [114,115]. The problem is, applying the geometry of multi-dimensional volume, gluons are asymmetrical and unstable [43,57], despite the fact that they were (and are) proposed to act just like a ‘glue’ holding together the nucleus of the atom. They have no other purpose. They cannot be explained in 9-dimensions and mathematically, gluons are impossible [51]. They contradict Fermat’s Last Theorem (FLT). This is because there are no electrons making up a third component and a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Cube</th>
<th>Cube root</th>
<th>Integer?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gluons</td>
<td>68,697y³</td>
<td>40.995338y</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimmel</td>
<td>125,971,200y³</td>
<td>108y</td>
<td>Yes!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary Particle</th>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Mass/energy</th>
<th>³Gimmel</th>
<th>Total TRUE Units</th>
<th>Combined Particle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>electron</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Electron = 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u1</td>
<td>proton</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proton = 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u2</td>
<td>proton</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Neutron = 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d1</td>
<td>neutron</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Neutron = 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u3</td>
<td>neutron</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d2</td>
<td>neutron</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d3</td>
<td>neutron</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpra.1001018>
volume (cube) cannot be solved because of FLT [116-119]. There is no balancing third stabilizing component to produce a stable spinning (cubic) combination (Table 1).

In Reality Begins with Consciousness: A Paradigm Shift That Works Edition 1 [43,57], we first hypothesized that reality had to be multi-dimensional beyond the 3 dimensions of space, or the first 4 dimensions (including linear time) in the standard physics model. Subsequently, we were able to demonstrate what we had posited, namely that mathematically, finite reality consists of a 9-dimensional, quantized, volumetric reality [48]. In other words, everything in reality is 3-dimensional. Recognition of this quantized, volumetric fact is very relevant.

However, all of this would still would not work [50] unless there was that third process, gimmel, besides mass and energy [44,50,51,65,120,121]. When gimmel is combined with mass and energy in the analysis we have developed, with a basic unit called the Triadic Rotational Unit of Equivalence (TRUE) [44,57,58,122] everything balances perfectly, mathematically.

TRUE applications combine normalized figures for the electrons, and proton and neutron components of the only stable quarks the up and down - quarks with that necessary extra component, gimmel, which is different for each element and compound (Table 2) [43,44,58,59].

Gimmel, as the massless, energyless third component, or substance, and likely the vehicle of consciousness or consciousness itself, is necessary for stability of each and every atom in our universe.

Without gimmel, calculations of stability and symmetry in rotating particles would not work out [60]. The basis of our existing universe is stability and if something becomes unstable and ‘decays’, for example in microseconds, we don’t have any kind of stable universe [124-126]. This is why we can apply the gimmel concepts universally at every level—not only at the level of quantum physics [42], but at the level of our macro reality where, as indicated, the life elements and certain inert ones (neon and helium) (Table 5) have exactly the same volumetric (cube) cannot be solved because of FLT [116-119]. This is why we hypothesized that elements that make up life, would have more gimmel than the other elements [52]. This appears to be part of nature’s amazing hierarchy.

In Table 4, we list the data for many of the lower atomic number elements. There are the life elements (C, H, O, S, N plus Ca and Mg and likely Silicon) and two inert noble elements (He and Ne) where applying the Gimmel scores to Triadic Rotational Units of Equivalence (TRUE) calculations, these are all cubic multiples of 108 cubed in score.

Hydrogen is far the most abundant element in the cosmos but describes a unique property: It is likely that great amounts of gimmel are mainly reflected in Hydrogen’s absence of a neutron.

We list the first 20 elements in Table 4, plus iron, which is not a life element but apparently contains the most gimmel because of its size. We also show that there are ‘GAPS’ in this analysis. This data is not only just mathematical, but empirical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1 Units</th>
<th>Total TRUE</th>
<th>% 3 Units</th>
<th>TRUE Volume</th>
<th>Comments and Abundance rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>(1×108)³</td>
<td>Critical Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deuterium</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Isotope; rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(2×108)³</td>
<td>Inert Element² #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>(2327…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>(437.89…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>(545.64…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(6×108)³</td>
<td>Organic elem #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(7×108)³</td>
<td>Life element #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neon</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(8×108)³</td>
<td>Life element #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>(977.22…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neon</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(10×108)³</td>
<td>Inert element #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O Water</td>
<td>1,324</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>(10×108)³</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>1,886</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>(1,193.12…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>1,536</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(12×108)³</td>
<td>Life element #9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>(1,409.06…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>1,792</td>
<td>2,352</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(14×108)³</td>
<td>Life elem #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>2,558</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>(1625.008³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>2,688</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(16×108)³</td>
<td>Life elem #10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>2,192</td>
<td>2,894</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>(1840.97…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>2,448</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>(2056.944…³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>2,560</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>(20×108)³</td>
<td>Life elem #12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>3,394</td>
<td>4,520</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>(6096.39²³)</td>
<td>Asymmetric #6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Tabulation of neutron² subatomic particles gimmel, TRUE and MREV scores for the life elements. [43,44,58,59].

Table 4: Some Elements And Compounds Including Gap In TRUE Unit Analysis showing Gimmel scores [127].

Table 5: Broader cosmological “Dark” Data (combining dark matter with dark energy) and proportionate gimmel comparisons based on cosmological abundance of elements.

---
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Table 6: Summary of atomic ratios of dark matter (DM) related to gimmel in nucleons and dark energy (DE) linked with gimmel. [127]

| Research Hypothesis | Volumetric (Dark Matter [26.8%\(^3\)] = 19.25%) / (Dark Energy [58.3%\(^3\)] = 31.86%).
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Gimmel to TRUE ratio (already volumetric) of (volumetric proportions) of Abundant Elements. (O [Hydrogen abundance = 70.57%] + [Helium + less abundant life elements = 29.43%]) in (nucleons [protons, neutrons, daled] = 62.10%)/(electron gimmel = 37.9%).
| Consequently this ‘dark matter/ dark energy ratio = 60.42%.

TRUE analysis also fits with models of dark matter and dark energy [65,129] and is, ostensibly, a necessity for physical stability (Table 5). Asymmetric elements contain less gimmel and are not 108 cubed multiples. Every life element is a cubic multiple of 108.

These results with dark substances ostensibly link exactly as expected with atomic structure to the extent that dark matter and energy can even be fitted into a 9-dimensional model [65,129] (Table 6).

Together, therefore, we have a unifying model for reality and a single hypothesis that allows one law for all of nature: [3,130,131]. There is no longer any quantum weirdness, there are equations in the elements that are logical, and testable in TRUE units and is remarkably close: 60.42% to 62.69%. The results not only confirm the research hypothesis but markedly so with only a 2.27% difference, far closer than even the reasonable research hypothesis limit.

Proposals:

- Dark matter and dark energy must be ‘contained’ in every stable atom.
- We've been referring here to ‘gimmel-consciousness’ and dark energy (DE) linked with gimmel. [127].
- Consequently this ‘gimmel/TRUE ratio = 62.69%.
- Results: The difference between the proportions of (Dark Matter to Dark Energy) to the ratios of (nucleon gimmel [linked with quarks and daled] to electron gimmel) is remarkably close: 60.42% to 62.69%. The results not only confirm the research hypothesis but markedly so with only a 2.27% difference, far closer than even the reasonable research hypothesis limit.

First, the concept of dimensions by definition involves measures of extent [71,146,146]. Mathematically, there turn out to be 9 specific dimensions, which prior to the proof, we had hypothesized [148]. Extent reflects the measure, such as space and time in physics, and space, time, and gimmel-consciousness in Dimensional Biopsychophysics.

The fundamental components of the triadic dimensional vortical paradigm

- We need something to measure and fundamental to our physical universe are mass and energy. This allows the idea of ‘content’. Content reflects substance, such as mass and energy the empirical measurements that are fundamental to our physical universe. We can express content mathematically relative to extent only indirectly, for example, as famously in Einstein’s e = mc\(^2\) [149]. In this famous equation, matter and energy are shown to be inherently equivalent, and therefore mass can be converted to energy, and their ratio is proportional to the speed of light squared, relating both to measurements of space and time.

- Impact and influence give a control and mechanism to mass and energy allowing extent and content to be impacted, e.g. as in earthquakes.

- We now add a major component namely consciousness into these three—consciousness extent, consciousness content, and consciousness impact and suddenly we have dimensional comparisons of consciousness essence as part of the Calculus of Distinctions [37]. This may be the most important advance of all, particularly after our discovery of gimmel, the third massless, energyless component of subatomic process [44,51,52]. We’ve been referring here to ‘gimmel-consciousness’ as the most likely, and almost only explanation.

<https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpra.1001018>
• Consciousness has many different ways of being conceptualized [15,37,39,43]. Our specific application of Consciousness in this context, constitutes the ‘unification of information, knowledge and wisdom at the infinite continuity level’. This infinite consciousness could be expressed in the finite quanta as the equivalent targeted, directed, quantized components of ‘meaning’. We humans utilize that meaning as the endpoint expression of our idiosyncratic awareness’s in our brain. We do not, therefore, just apply the term ‘information’ as a synonym for ‘Consciousness’. We’re conceptualizing something broader than information.

• Next in the Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm is a fundamental math and empirical principle namely, volume: Dimensions of content are never points.
  o There are no singularities in quantum reality. Singularities are purely conceptual: In reality, these are not points, but volumes.
  o One can project a line as linear—as one-dimensional or in one direction, such as the way we conceive of time viz. past-present-future [150,151].
  o We can graph in 2 dimensions, as in planes; and on a spreadsheet, but these are artificial measures and even Space must have thickness.
  o The most fundamental measure in the laws of nature is volume. Everything is volumetric, and therefore linear dimensions must be cubed. This allows for mathematical calculations that are empirical.

• The principle that follows is everything is quantized. The quantum reflects a limit of minimal quantity. This means that infinitesimal calculus [44,51,52], while valuable of itself, is theoretical, and we’ve therefore had to develop a new calculus: the calculus of distinctions [37,39].

• It is important to note the mathematical impossibility of our current Standard Model of Physics [66,114]. For example, straight elemental data of protons plus neutrons plus electrons ≠ an atom [48,52,67]. When you apply these calculations volumetrically, it simply does not work mathematically: it is an inequality [67]. Therefore, there has to be an extra component for such cubic combinations to work mathematically [152]. This introduces integral variables those Diophantine Equations [56,57] and their volumetric solutions necessitate gimmel applying a subset, namely Close’s ‘Conveyance Equation’ [44,55].

• A mathematical area which is likely relevant to add to the TDVP concept of Infinite continuity is Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem (GIT). When analyzing the exact limits of GIT we can recognize that no consistent logical theory can be complete within itself [49]. However, this is very difficult terrain as no matter how many self-consistent logical sentences we record, there will always be one more potentially N+1 more statements out there. Thus, to be ‘consistent’ one has to go ‘outside the box’. That means that GIT here would necessarily require something that is entirely different and outside the consistent logic of the discrete, quantized, finite, volumetric, 3S-1t reality alone. Applying this to extending TDVP to the infinite, we realized that GIT might be fundamental to the TDVP concept of ‘Infinite Continuity’ as it would not be refuted. In TDVP, we had to create a model that could be applied from outside the standard model, to make it complete, otherwise TDVP could not be an internally consistent Theory of Everything (TOE) [3,153]. The hypothesis of over-arching Infinite continuity allows not only for an approach from ‘outside the box’ but it is also fundamentally different not quantized, but continuous [143].

• The GIT might demand alternative existence of the infinite continuity, to be consistent with its logical axioms. This way there must be a consistency of a logic/set theory that contains the finite quantized in the continuous hypothetical assumption. Our further work in the area suggests of infinite continuity suggests strongly that it is likely to be correct. However, even if infinite continuity did not exist, the rest of TDVP with the 9D and gimmel still would be applicable. But like all other models that apply just the finite reality, it would not be a complete TOE.

• Infinite continuity is a necessary assumption which cannot be directly shown, but is required for any Theory of Everything as otherwise the math model would be necessarily always incomplete [4]. It also is a convenient and feasible hypothesis allowing for further disciplines to traverse such as ‘ordropy’ [15,141,154,155], enduring multidimensional infinite order (in addition to the entropy of physics with the ultimate tendency towards disorder; despite us living with a lot of order in our 3S-1t sentient existence [156-158], conservation of gimmel in the infinite continuity [3,135,159,160] explanations of infinite existence [139], and meaningful evolution [106,161,162]). Incorporating gimmel also into the infinite continuity component of the TDVP 9-D model provides a single explanation, leading to the Laws of Nature being unified and a consequent philosophical model of Unified Monism [130,131], being proposed, based on the science.

• Fundamental to the Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm are the Triadic Rotational Units of Equivalence (TRUE) [59,60,123]. These are measures.
of volumetric equivalents including mass, energy and gimmel. TRUE can be applied to analyzing quantum phenomena, to life elements and other compounds in our macro-world, to dark matter and energy, [61,144] and through the inclusion of gimmel even applied to the infinite continuity [3,153] TRUE analyses, inter alia, show the Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm to be mathematically real [43] and also empirically so. Most definitively, when examining normalized data from the gimmel TRUE unit Mass-energy equivalence scores (GTUs) and comparing these with the CERN Large Hadron Collider, they both are exactly equal integrally with the normalized electron score as 1, and the proton as 1836 and the neutron as 1839. This proves this component of our Triadic Dimensional Vortical Paradigm data is correct empirically [42,61].

- Next, we have to use the mathematics that are cubic, volumetric, and quantal [43]. That means applying the 'Calcus of Dimensional Distinctions' (CoDD) [37] developed by Ed Close with an assist from Vernon Neppe [37]. The CoDD ensures geometric symmetries which can be applied to the Periodic Table of the Elements. It can be applied to protons, neutrons, and electrons in the atom in all the elements (except Hydrogen). We discover that the elements of life (C, H, O, S, N, Ca, Mg, and likely Si; and also He, and Ne as inert elements) are all multiples of 1083 TRUE [43], and these are also necessarily stable and symmetrical around an orthogonal axis [43]. These 'life elements' are in union with more gimmel than any other elements [43]. Moreover, Water, ostensibly the most life-sustaining chemical in the universe, has more gimmel than any other compounds [43].

- Finally, and of life-sustaining relevance, is Hydrogen H⁺. Hydrogen (specifically H⁺ or Protium) is the most abundant element cosmologically. It is the lightest, and it’s unique because of the absence of the neutron. This makes H⁺ a critically important exception in nature. We have postulated that the Hydrogen H⁺ atom contains an extra quantity of ‘gimmel’ instead of its missing neutron. This results in a far greater quantity of ‘gimmel equivalent units’ than any other element. However, we cannot prove that this unit that would be an equivalent volumetric replacement for the absent neutron in Hydrogen is ‘gimmel’ itself. Therefore, we call this neutron-linked extra massless, energyless substance ‘daled’ [43]. Nevertheless, we strongly propose that this ‘daled’ replacing the absent neutron, is just another form of gimmel because our calculations applying it appear to have demonstrated this to be so [37,43]. This would be the absent-neutron equivalent ‘gimmel’ that is in union with, for example, the proton of Hydrogen (which contains two up-quarks and one down-quark).

Dr. David Stewart PhD, DNM is a Mathematician, Geophysicist, Earth Scientist, Theologian, Doctor of Natural Medicine and Author of over 300 articles and 17 books. He has a very keen mind and is a critical thinker and might be more familiar with the Close-Neppe work than anyone else in the world. Therefore, he is well-qualified to express an opinion on TDVP, and wrote these words publicly in a nomination letter. This clarifies his opinion for 4D physicists.

We had some trepidation including this quotation, but many of our readers and referees have encouraged its inclusion as it would provide valuable insight: This is because most 4D physicists are unfamiliar with 9D+ and gimmel and TDVP. We greatly appreciate Prof. Stewart’s kind thoughts, but we do not necessarily agree!

“In summary, I rank Dr. Edward R. Close and Dr. Vernon M. Neppe as peers of the major authors of modern physics and mathematics. I equate them with greats, such as Planck, Einstein, Heisenberg, Schrödinger, Bohr, Dirac, Born, Pauli, Bell, De Broglie, and their predecessors such as Newton, Maxwell, Leibnitz, Kelvin, and many others.

The Neppe-Close work, which is built upon the works of these extraordinarily brilliant and innovating pioneers, has clarified, and extended the science and mathematics that these geniuses originated over a century ago.

The work of Close and Neppe has laid a foundation for all future science to develop. The world of scientific understanding, in all fields, has been permanently changed, and set in a new direction, by the work of Close and Neppe. The future of all mankind is forever brighter because of what they have done. And they aren’t finished, yet.

...Dr. Neppe’s contributions in both the Medical and Dimensional Biopsychophysics spheres are truly amazing.

I still foresee the day when they will both be awarded other honors, such as a Nobel Prize in Physics. If there were an equivalent award in Mathematics, I would nominate them for that prize, as well.”
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