Comparison of Lung Function of normal and persons with chronic low back pain and its relation with duration and severity of Chronic Low Back Pain
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Abstract

Introduction: Chronic low back pain is one of the most prevalent musculoskeletal disorders. Studies have shown certain relations between physical fitness and chronic low back pain (CLBP) by examine some measures of physical fitness. The aim of study was to measure lung function using winspiro PRO in patient with CLBP versus normal healthy individual, and relation of lung function with duration and intensity of CLBP.

Methodology: The study population is comprised of a total of 120 adult persons. 60 subjects with chronic low back pain (41 male and 19 female) with a mean age of 30.69 years (+4.34) and 60 normal individual (39 male and 21 female) with mean age of 29.00 years (+5.34).

Results: The result of comparison of the respiratory parameter forced vital capacity (FVC), and maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV) of individual with CLBP show a significant difference as compare to the normal healthy population. In unrelated 't' test the patient with CLBP (N=60) of age 30.51(SD±4.33), height 169cm (SD±1.23), weight 57.86(SD±7.73) and body mass index (BMI) 20.46 (SD±2.54) show a significant difference in respiratory parameter FVC ( t=17.244, P=0.000), and MVV ( t=11.048, P=0.000) as compare to the normal healthy persons (N=60) of age 29.79 (SD±5.28), height 170cm (SD±1.13), weight 59.40(SD±6.97) and BMI 21.59 (SD±3.29). In patient group- FVC range is 34.0% to 75.00% (52.85%±9.30). MVV range is 45.0% to 86.80% (63.11%±12.06)In control group FVC range is 63.90% to 98.83% (83.63%±7.59). MVV range is 63.00% to 98.00% (78.96%±7.86).

Conclusion: The overall result of the study show that there is a significant difference in the respiratory parameter that is FVC (forced vital capacity), and the MVV (maximum voluntary ventilation) in persons with chronic low back pain as compare to the healthy person of same age, height, weight and body mass index (BMI).

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) explains the symptom of low back pain as pain felt in the loin i.e. lumbo-sacral region (ICD-10-M54.5). Low back pain (LBP) is defined as pain that is perceived as arising in the region bounded by the 12th rib and the inferior gluteal folds and may also be associated with or without leg pain [1]. Unlike the muscles of the limbs, the muscles involved in lumbopelvic stability also perform a variety of essential homeostatic functions, such as breathing and continence, in addition to movement and control of the trunk [2-5]. Hodges et al., noted that in chronic low back pain (CLBP) the coordinating function between the transversus abdominis and diaphragm was reduced [2]. Thus, it is also possible that faulty posture and anatomical changes cause the physiological function changes in the body that is starting as adoptive breathing strategy, such adoptive strategies would relax the abdominal musculature.
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more than the necessary on inspiration. The adoptive breathing pattern may result in
the more upper chest breathing and less efficient diaphragm activity. The purpose of
this study is that as there are various factors which comes in play in the patient of the
chronic low back pain like the postural disturbances, pain, weakness of the abdominal
muscles, the weakness of the thoracic muscles, weakness of the chest wall muscles and
also the important respiratory muscles of respiration that is the diaphragm, there are
several other factors also like the duration of the low back pain or the intensity and the
functional status of the patient.

Method

Sample size-120 subjects (41 male and 19 female in patient group, and 39 male
and 21 female in normal person group), Study design-A Non experimental correlation
design. Sample design-The study population is comprised of a total of 120 adult persons
table 1. These subjects were recruited from the outpatient department of physiotherapy
of Swami Vivekanand National Institute of Rehabilitation Training and Research
(SVNIRTAR) based on fulfillment of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Exclusion
criteria - Any respiratory and cardiac disease, Previous history of rib fracture, sprains
of costo-chondral, costo-sternal and interchondral joint, Spinal surgery, Thoracic or
abdominal surgery, Cognitive alteration with musculoskeletal deformity, Patient on
drug therapy which alter spirometry parameters like psychotropic drugs, any tumour.

After acceptance of the research proposal by the institute’s ethical committee the
chronic low back patient are selected from the Out-patient or in-patient department of
physiotherapy after fulfill the inclusion or the exclusion criteria. The normal healthy
subjects are selected from the students’ population or also the normal subjects who
are visited the hospital along with the other patients. After selecting the patient as well
normal healthy individual’s informed written consent was also obtained from each
subjects. After explaining the purpose of study, subject underwent through a thorough
assessment and a general clinical examination to rule out any gross pulmonary or the
heart diseases and nervous system disorders. Each subject was interviewed for the
oswestry disability index (ODI) questionnaire and the anthropometrical measurement
such as height, weight and body mass index (BMI) were also taken.

Additional questions were asked to reveal the type of the occupation to exclude
those patients who worked in any industries or in the polluted area or also to see
that whether the subject is a sport person. The lung functions were measured by
computerized spirometer winspiro PRO. The subject was asked to sit erect in a silent
room and took rest for some time and got relax before the procedure. Each subject
was shown a demonstration of the test before actual test was carried out on him/her.
First mouthpiece was given and asked to try with, by blowing through it as fast
and as hard for as long as possible. The minimum of three readings were recorded
of each test performed by every subject and the best of the three are selected having
reproducibility and validity of recorded parameters. During the procedure subject was
asked to wear a nose clip. The lung function parameters included were forced vital
capacity (FVC), and maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV). The actual values of all these
parameters of patient group as well as the healthy subjects group were taken.

Visual analog scale (VAS), oswestry disability index (ODI) score and duration of
low back pain were recorded for the patients with low back pain. Visual analog scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1:</th>
<th>subjects with chronic low back pain</th>
<th>Normal subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60=(41 male and 19 female)</td>
<td>60=(39 male and 21 female)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age 30.51±4.33 years</td>
<td>mean age 29.00±5.34 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient with chronic low back pain with or without leg pain. Duration of low back pain&gt;3months, VAS score for low back pain&gt;4</td>
<td>Healthy asymptotic subjects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age of 18 to 50 years</td>
<td>age of 18 to 50 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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was used to measure subjective pain intensity. This is a card with an uncalibrated scale ranging from 0-10, on one side (with zero representing the worst pain), and a corresponding 10 cm ruler on the other side (with each cm representing one pain level). It has a pointer, which can be easily moved from one end to the other. It is shown to be valid and sensitive [6,7] and has reasonable degree of reproducibility [8].

Oswestry Disability Index is an effective method for measuring disability in patients with LBA, high degree of severity & different causes. It includes 10 6-point scales. Sum of 10 ODI scores is expressed as a % of maximum scores & if patient fails to complete a section % score is adjusted. 1st section rates the intensity of pain & remaining 9 cover the disabling effect of pain on activities of daily living (ADL’s) [9].

**Data analysis**

All the data were collected by the examiner and the data were analyzed by using the SPSS version 16. Unrelated ‘t’ test was performed to find out the significant difference in the respiratory parameters (FVC & MVV) between the Chronic low back pain (CLBP) patient and the normal healthy persons. To find out the correlation between the respiratory parameters (FVC & MVV) and the CLBP parameters (VAS, ODI score and Duration of CLBP) Pearson’s correlation coefficient were calculated. Linear regression was conducted to further analyze the relation between the respiratory parameter (FVC & MVV) and the CLBP (VAS, ODI score & Duration).

**Results**

The results of comparison of the respiratory parameter (FVC & MVV) of individual with CLBP show a significant difference as compare to the normal healthy population. In unrelated ‘t’ test the patient with CLBP (N=60) of age 30.51(SD±4.33), height 169cm (SD±1.23), weight 57.86(SD±7.73) and (BMI) 20.46 (SD±2.54) show a significant difference in respiratory parameter FVC (t=17.244, P=0.000), and MVV ( t=11.048, P=0.000) as compare to the normal healthy persons (N=60) of age 29.79 (SD±5.28), height 170cm (SD±1.13), weight 59.40(SD±6.97) and BMI 21.59 (SD±3.29). In patient group- FVC range is 34.0% to 75.00% (52.85%±9.30) MVV range is 45.0% to 86.80% (63.11%±12.06). In control group, FVC range is 63.90% to 98.83% (83.63%±7.59). MVV range is 63.00% to 98.00% (78.96%±7.86).

**Forced Vital Capacity (FVC):** The FVC show a highly negative correlation with the **VAS** (Graph 1) of CLBP patient (r =-0.721, p=0.000) at significant level of 0.001 (2-tailed).The FVC show a highly negative correlation with the **Duration** of the CLBP, (r= -0.821, p=0.000), at the significant level of the 0.001 (2-tailed). The FVC show a highly negative correlation with the **ODI score** (Graph 3) of the CLBP Patient. (r=-0.707, p=0.000), at the significant level of the 0.001 (2-tailed).In Regression analysis one unit increase in VAS cause 4.408 unit reduction from the predicted FVC in %. In Regression analysis one unit increase in 1 month of duration causes 0.647 unit reduction from the predicted FVC in %. In Regression analysis one unit increase of ODI score causes 0.360 unit reduction from the predicted FVC in %.

**Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV):** The MVV show a highly negative correlation with the **VAS** (Graph 4) of CLBP patient (r= -0.828, p=0.000) at significant level of 0.001 (2-tailed). The MVV show a moderate negative correlation with the **Duration** (Graph 5) of the CLBP (r=-0.640, p=0.000), at the significant level of the 0.001 (2-tailed). The MVV show a highly negative correlation with the **ODI score** (Graph 6) of the CLBP Patient (r=-0.810, p=0.000), at the significant level of the 0.001 (2-tailed). In the Regression analysis one unit increase in the VAS cause 6.581 unit reduction from the predicted MVV in %. In the Regression analysis one unit increase in the duration of CLBP cause 0.656 unit reduction from the predicted MVV in %. In the Regression analysis one unit increase in the ODI causes 0.537 unit reduction from the predicted MVV in %.
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Graph 1: (FVC-VAS).

Graph 2: (FVC-DURATION).

Graph 3: (FVC-ODI).
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Graph 4: (MVV-VAS).

Graph 5: (MVV-DURATION).

Graph 6: (MVV-ODI).
Discussion

The overall results of the study show that there is a significant difference in the respiratory parameter that is FVC (forced vital capacity), and the MVV (maximum voluntary ventilation) in persons with chronic low back pain as compared to the healthy person of same age, height, weight and BMI. Between the control group and the person with chronic low back pain, the FVC and MVV are significantly reduced (p=0.000) in person with CLBP, as compare to the healthy normal individuals. There is no significant difference in FEV1/FVC ratio (p=0.346) between two group, indicate that the CLBP is more of the restrictive type of lung disorder.

Forced vital capacity (FVC)

The possible explanation for this result may be, due to the reduced chest wall mobility as well as the weakness of the respiratory muscles or the altered recruitment pattern of the respiratory muscles specially the diaphragm and the abdominals. In case of CLBP the chest wall expansion is reduced which is suggested by different studies, the reduced chest wall expansion could be attributed to poor posture due to reduced mobility, manifested by increase thoracic kyphosis or by the slouched posture and this posture lead to the reduction in the rib cage excursion because of possibly altered length tension relationship of the diaphragm. It also has been reported in earlier studies by the Hussain et al. [10] and Gonzalez et al. [11], they suggest that chest wall restriction is the one of the indication of reduced pulmonary function such as FVC, 1 minute forced expiratory volume (FEV1) and the MVV. There are various study which support the hypothesis that is the FVC is reduced in CLBP patient. Pavel Kolar & Alena Kubesova [12], in their study describe that the excursion of the diaphragm is reduced in CLBP patient and the recruitment manner of the diaphragm during inspiration show an altered contraction pattern in CLBP patient as compare to the healthy controls. In their result they show that the FVC of control group is 113.8%±16.0%, and for CLBP patient FVC is 109.7%±12.0%, this result is greatly support the result of this study, as in this study also show the FVC is reduced in patient group as compare to the control group (p=0.000). The abdominal muscles prepare the diaphragm at the end of the expiration for next inspiration, and if the tone of abdominal muscles or the abdominal muscles recruitment is altered the effective deep inspiration get affected it may also be a possible cause for the reduction in the FVC.

Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV)

The overall result of this study shows that the MVV is significantly (p=0.000) reduced in patient of CLBP, as compare to the normal healthy persons. The results also suggest that with increase in pain, duration and ODI of CLBP patient, there is more reduction in the MVV. The MVV range is vary in CLBP patient (63.11%±12.06) as compare to the normal healthy persons (78.96±7.86) of same age, weight, height and BMI. The MVV reflect the function of entire ventilatory apparatus and pulmonary function. Presently the MVV test is use as index of maximum voluntary capacity of respiratory system. As the MVV depend on both the pulmonary (lung tissue resistance, thoracic cage movement, respiratory muscles function [13], as well as nonpulmonary factors (motivation, sensorium, muscles force and endurance). These all above factors can affect the MVV in any pathological as well as physiological conditions. The MVV have both the component the inhalation as well as the deep exhalation which required efficient work of respiratory muscles primary as well as the accessory muscles. As in case of CLBP the chest mobility & the respiratory muscles force production get reduced, so it will affect both the component of the MVV.

Conclusion

There was reduction in respiratory parameters (FVC & MVV) in CLBP patient as compared to the normal healthy persons, and also the respiratory parameters get more
affected with the severity of CLBP. There was highly significant negative correlation between the pain, duration, and disability of person with CLBP and the respiratory parameters (FVC and MVV).

**Limitations**

Less control over external variance like temperature, humidity, and diurnal variations. No medical testing was done to rule out any systemic disease it is only based on history and clinical features.
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