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Abstract

**Background:** Hyperuricosuria, persistently low urinary pH, and low urinary volume are the main risk factors of uric acid nephrolithiasis. Epidemiologic studies suggest that high water intake is protective against the occurrence of symptomatic kidney stone events of all types. The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis were to evaluate the effectiveness of increased water intake to prevent symptomatic uric acid kidney stone events.

**Methods:** A literature search was performed in the PubMed, Science Direct, Cochrane library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Wang fang data base without a beginning date restriction through November 2018. Risk factors including 24 hour urinary uric acid excretion, urinary pH, urine volume, and relative super saturation of uric acid were selected as outcomes. Statistical synthesis was conducted using Review Manager 5.3.3. The systematic review and meta-analysis was completed using random-effects model and standardized mean difference (SMD) as the effect size. Q and I²% statistic indices were applied for heterogeneity testing.

**Results:** Seventeen studies were identified for the meta-analysis. Analysis of Q and I²% statistics revealed that a high heterogeneity in 16 studies, thus, random effects model was used. Protective associations were identified for high water intake individuals (SMD=0.52 L; 95% CI: 0.19, 0.84; p=0.002); a significantly decreased relative super saturation of uric acid versus controls (SMD=1.15; 95% CI: -2.00, -0.30; p=0.008). Risk factors including urinary uric acid excretion and pH were not significantly related to high water intake (SMD=7.32mg/d, 95% CI: -52.27, 66.91; p=0.81), (SMD=0.14; 95% CI: -0.02, 0.31; p=0.09), respectively. Further subgroup analyses revealed that urinary uric acid excretion was significantly decreased in healthy individuals (SMD=-36.23 mg/d, 95% CI: -65.14, -7.31; p=0.001) compared to stone formers (SMD=27.41 mg/d, 95% CI: -33.18, 88.01; p=0.38); urinary uric acid excretion was significantly decreased in routine water intake groups (SMD=-61.49 mg/d, 95% CI: -120.74, 12.24; p=0.04) compared to mineral water intake groups (SMD=44.50 mg/d, 95% CI: -18.30, 107.29; p=0.16); urinary pH was significantly higher in mineral water groups (SMD=0.13, 95% CI: 0.01, 0.46; p=0.04) compared to regular water groups (SMD=0.00, 95% CI: -0.13, 0.13; p=0.98).

**Conclusion:** This meta-analysis identified evidence that urinary uric acid excretion, volume, pH and relative supersaturation of uric acid can be altered with high water intake intervention, reducing the risk of uric acid kidney stones.

Introduction

Uric acid nephrolithiasis (UAN) refers to the formation of urinary stones containing uric acid or urate salts. UAN constitutes around 10% of kidney stones. The incidence of UAN has constantly increased over recent years [1-3]. The United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys II and III reported that kidney stone prevalence increased from 3.8% in the year 1976 to 5.2% in the year 1994 in most developed countries [4]. There are global geographical diversities in the incidence of UAN. The worldwide incidence ranges from 5% to 40% [3]. The prevalence of UAN was...
reported to be 15-16% in Japanese and Chinese descendants in San Francisco, 28% in Pakistan, 22% in Israel%. Approximately 13% of men and 7% of women will develop a kidney stone during their lifetime. UAN prevalence is higher in Middle Eastern and Hmong immigrant populations in the US [3,5,6]. The pathogenesis of UAN remains unclear. Three significant urinary abnormalities have been described as main etiological risk factors in the pathogenesis of UAN including hyperuricosuria, persistently low urinary pH, and low urinary volume [7]. From the viewpoint of treatment and prevention, hydration status, urine output and uric acid concentration are important factors that affect renal handling of uric acid [3]. Medical dissolution treatments including urine dilution and alkalinization can be effective in many UA stone formers [8]. Some intervention studies specifically promote water, while some include other beverages that largely contain free water [10,11]. Increased water intake may help prevent the formation of UAN by diluting urinary uric acid concentration, and by flushing away uric acid micro-crystals [12]. Mineral waters may also decrease urine pH. Some studies support the efficacy of water therapy to prevent and reduce the recurrence of all forms of kidney stones lumped together, presumably since high water intake increases urine flow rates, lowers the super saturation for stone forming salts [10-13]. From that perspective, we hypothesized that UAN could be prevented by increasing urine volumes and flows via increased water intake [8-10].

Recently both the American College of Physicians (ACP) clinical guideline and American Urological Association (AUA) clinical guideline recommend sufficient water intake to prevent recurrent kidney stones [14,15]. However, the association between fluid intake and kidney stone risk is not entirely clear. Several studies suggest that sufficient fluid intake is an effective strategy to prevent kidney stones [12,16-18]. Three meta-analyses concluded that water intake was associated with reduced kidney stone risk and long-term risk of recurrence [8,13,18]. However, some studies contain conflicting results [19,20]. Further, neither the clinical guidelines nor the meta-analysis address the relationship between high water intake and prevention of UAN. Thus the objectives of this systematic review and meta-analysis were to define the quantitative relationship between high water intake and the UAN risk.

**Materials and Methods**

This systematic review and meta-analysis were performed using published studies including interventional and cross over studies.

**Eligibility criteria**

We included both healthy non-stone formers and patients with a urolithiasis history in our selection criteria. Intervention study subjects must have been prescribed high water intake (any kind of water). Study designs could be interventional or prospective observational and included comparison to baseline or control untreated cohorts. Studies with urine profiles (as opposed to stone events as the outcome) were included. All had full text articles available. We did not limit based upon year or published language.

**Search strategy**

The literature search was conducted in PubMed, Science Direct, Cochrane library, and two Chinese databases (China National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wang fang Database) through November 2018. A manual search of the article references and relevant reviews was also completed. The keywords used for the search were as follows: “uric acid”, urate, kidney, renal, urinary, stone*, crystal*, nucleat*, *lithiasis, grow*, form, formation, formed, precipitat*, solub*, water, coffee, tea, soda, fluid*, liquid*. The terms “humans” or “patient” was used to limit search results.

**Study inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they: were based on a cohort, case–control, or cross over design published as original studies; contained exposure information water intake (water, coffee, tea, soda); evaluated the effects of high water intake on urinary risk factors for UAN; provided data on 24 hour urinary uric acid, urinary pH, urine volume, relative super saturation of uric acid, and other risk factors related to UAN. Additionally, studies must have included a reference group composed of subjects on a usual water intake (control or baseline). We excluded literature review, grey literature, and conference abstracts.

**Study selection and data extraction**

All studies were organized and screened for duplication using EndNote X8 software. Duplication-free articles underwent title and abstract examination using predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria described above. Study selection was performed by two investigators independently. Opinion discrepancies were resolved by discussion and ultimate consensus. All studies which fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria underwent full text review. A standardized data collection form was used to extract the following information, if available: name of first author, year of publication, country of origin, title of article, study design, sample size, year of study, subjects specific condition, definition of high fluid intake (if there was no information on water serving size in an article, an assumption was made that a serving size of 1 cup or glass was equal to 150 mL), control intervention or baseline. The outcome parameters were chosen on the basis of the hypothesis that three main risk factors of UAN were hyperuricosuria, persistently low urinary pH, and low urinary volume. We extracted urine profile data as follows: 24 hour urinary uric acid, urinary pH, urine volume, relative supersaturating of uric acid. Measurement units used in this study were mg/day.
for urinary uric acid level, L/day for urine volume, and % for relative supersaturating of uric acid. All data are continuous variables, and numbers were extracted as mean and standard deviation.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean and standard deviation were combined by Review Manager 5.3.5 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). The degree of heterogeneity was assessed calculating the Cochran’ Q statistic with I² and P heterogeneity. An I² of 0%–25%, suggested no heterogeneity; 26%–50% represented low heterogeneity; 51%–75% represented moderate heterogeneity; and 75%–100% represented high heterogeneity [21,22]. The presence of publication bias was assessed by funnel plots [21]. Outcomes of 24 hour urinary uric acid, urinary pH, urine volume, and relative super saturation of uric acid were pooled under random-effects models and SMD as the effect size. If outcomes were observed in multiple follow-up times, we combined the mean and standard deviation, and pooled within-trial differences in SMDs [23]. Sensitivity analyses was used to ensure that no individual study was entirely responsible for the combined results, and this procedure was used to determine which study was the main source of heterogeneity [24]. We performed sensitivity analyses by removing each individual study in turn from the total and re-analyzing the remainder. We also performed subgroup analyses to detect possible sources of heterogeneity and potential difference among subgroups, by grouping the condition of subjects (healthy subjects or stone formers) and the type of water intake (regular water or mineral water). All P-values were 2-sided.

**Results**

**Search results**

The search strategy identified 1811 potentially relevant articles. After removing duplicates, 1747 individual publications were screened by title and abstract, after removing review articles and not relevant studies. A total of 74 full manuscripts were identified for detailed evaluation. Fifty-three articles were excluded, including 2 articles with no full-text available. Nineteen articles were consistent with our selection criteria. Two of the 19 studies had to be excluded from quantitative analysis because of the following reasons: (1) Milewski JB et al. only published mean values as their outcome measurement, without standard deviation and p values [25]; and (2) Neimark AI et al. reported implausible data, since 24h urinary uric acid amount changed from 0.8±2.4 to 8.3±2.23 mmol/d after the treatment of Serebrianyi Kliuch mineral water [26]. Three of the remaining 17 studies were conducted in USA [27-29], two in China [30,31], two in Germany [32,33], one in Iran [34], four in Italy [12,35-37], one in Poland [25], three in Russia [26,38,39], two in Saudi Arabia [40,41], one in South Africa [42]. Among the studies two were randomized controlled trial. This systematic review retrieved a total number of 895 participants in the articles included; number of participants per study ranged from 6 to 100. The 2 RCT studies enrolled 399 participants with a follow-up period of 14 days [35] and 5 years [12]. Six studies included only healthy subjects, eleven studies included kidney stone formers, and two studies included both healthy subjects and patients. Only one study included children (n=3), the remaining including only adult participants [39]. Water intervention duration ranged from 2 days to 5 years. Characteristics of the studies included are shown in table 1.

**Definition of high water intake:**

Fifteen studies specifically defined high water intake. Two studies calculated daily water intake volumes based on the volunteers’ lean body weight [25,27]; one study calculated daily water intake volumes by 120 mL per 100 kcal/d [34]; one study described daily water intake by cups [30]. Sixteen studies reported the intervention as more than 1.2L of water intake, one study had unclear increased water intake [27], one study described high daily water intake as evidenced by a urine volume of at least 2L [12]. Only two studies described water or tea as an extra fluid intake beside daily water consumption, with the amount less than 1L [30,39], which needed add up other forms of water (beverage, fluid ingested from solid foods or other types of water intake) as total water intake daily. Three studies counted green tea [30], fruit tea [33], bag tea [40] drinking as the daily water consumption. Details were shown in table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study author and year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Subject condition</th>
<th>Water intake Intervention</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Baseline or control</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Main outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdulwahab A. Noorwall, et al (1988)</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Healthy adults (4 male, 3 female)</td>
<td>Drink 2 L of tea using one, two, or four bags for one week. Note: Tea bags (Lipton) were immersed in 2 L of boiling water and left without any mixing for five minutes. The tea bags were discarded, and the tea consumed throughout the day</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24h urine volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle D. Sweeney, et al (2009)</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Healthy subjects (5 male, 7 female) and 12 hypercalcuric stone formers (2 male, 10 female)</td>
<td>All subjects ingested 2 L water daily for 7 days</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume; relative supersaturation of uric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Silverio, F, et al (1994)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Patients with kidney stones (118 male, 82 female)</td>
<td>Drink more than 1.5 L of Fiuggi water daily for 7 and 14 days</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Drink less than 1500 mL of Fiuggi water daily for 7 and 14 days</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH; Note: combining data from healthy and stone former groups during overall analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dzeranov NK, et al (2000)</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with urolithiasis (23 male, 29 female)</td>
<td>Drink a low mineral content water TIB-2 3 times a day in a dose 200 mL, 30-45 minutes before meal for 12 days</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, urine volume; Note: combining data from follow-up 3-5 day and 10-12 day during overall analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahad A. Alyami, et al (2011)</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers</td>
<td>Drink at least 1.2 L daily of bottled water for 2 weeks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang Chen, et al (2018)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Healthy males with no previous history of urolithiasis or other renal disorders</td>
<td>Drink three cups of prepared 2g of green leaf tea for 7 consecutive days (about 600-800 mL). Following a washout period of 3 weeks, drink 4 g of green leaf tea for 7 consecutive days. Note: tea leaves were immersed in 200 mL of hot distilled water during 2 min before consumption, tea sample pH 5.68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-green tea intake</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume; Note: combining data from 2g group and 4g group during overall analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORIS BORGHI, et al (1996)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>220 patients with kidney stones, 21 dropouts during follow-up period</td>
<td>High water (not too mineralized) intake, which would give a urine volume that was equal to or greater than 2 L daily for 5 years</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Did not provide for any high water treatment</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24h urine volume and relative supersaturation of uric acid; Note: combining data from follow-up 5 years during overall analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majid Mirzaazadeh, et al (2012)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>14 patients with Calcium kidney stones; 15 patients without kidney stones, who were otherwise healthy patients undergoing urethroplasty</td>
<td>Drink mineral water with low hardness 110 mg/L, Tehran tap water with moderate hardness 180 mg/L, and mineral water with high hardness 280 mg/L; at a dose of 120 mL of water per 100kcal/d (about 1.8-2.2 L) for two days. Note: water with low mineral hardness pH 6.5±0.20; Tehran tap water pH 7.2±0.45; mineral water with high hardness pH 6.9±0.95</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Baseline: measured at self-selected diet phase</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume; Note: combining data from different hardness mineral water groups during overall analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martino MARANGELLA, et al (1996)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis (8 male, 13 female)</td>
<td>Drink 2 L of different types of mineral water of low, medium and high calcium content for one month. Note: Low-calcium water pH 7.1; Medium-calcium water pH 7.2; High-calcium water pH 6.1</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OV Konstantinova, et al (2013)</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>47 adults patients with hyperuricemia and chronic pyelonephritis and 3 children; 14 patients dropped out</td>
<td>Drink mineral water ‘Naftysa’ of Zbruchansk field at a dose 200 mL 3 times a day for adults, 50-150 mL 3 times a day for children, for 7-20 days</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuangyang Peng, et al (2007)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>81 undergoing minimally invasive lithotomy, 2 dropped</td>
<td>Drink water 2-2.5 L daily without special diet prevention for 6 months</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodgers AL, et al (1997)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>20 healthy males; 20 health females; 20 male calcium oxalate kidney stone formers; 20 female calcium oxalate kidney stone formers</td>
<td>Drink 1.5 L of Vittel mineral water during each of 3 consecutive days without any change to their normal diet; then drink 1.5 L of tap water during each of 3 consecutive days</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during normal dietary conditions</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume, relative supersaturation of uric acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Iantorno-M, et al (1997)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with calcium kidney stones (13 male, 7 female)</td>
<td>Drink a low mineral content water &quot;Monteferrante&quot; 2.5-3 L daily for 6 months</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, urine volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacey G. Koff, et al (2007)</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with kidney stones</td>
<td>Drink enough fluid to urinate at least 2 L daily. Fluid intake: 30 mL of lemon juice with three-fourths cup of water and sweetener for each serving, three times daily for 5 days, within no less than 2 weeks washout period</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsten Keüler, et al (2000)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Healthy male subjects with no previous history of urinary calculi or other renal disorders.</td>
<td>Drink a neutral fruit tea with no influence on the urinary with bicarbonate-rich mineral water 500 mL, four times daily for 2 days</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH, urine volume, relative supersaturation of uric acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study excluded from quantitative synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milewski JB, et al (1987)</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with hyperuricemia and hyperuricuria, patients with uric acid stones</td>
<td>24 patients with uric acid stones drank mineral water from the Dabrowa spring at the Szczawno-Zdroj, 30 mL / kg body weight for three weeks.</td>
<td>Drink less amount of water daily</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid, pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neimark AI, et al (2003)</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Self-controlled study</td>
<td>Patients with nephrolithiasis were exposed to extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy</td>
<td>10 patients drank tap water and 17 patients drank silver-containing mineral water Serebryany klucky, 250-300 mL per service, 5-7 times daily for 7-8 days after extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy</td>
<td>Baseline: measured during the pre-phase</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24h urinary uric acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: combining data from different types of mineral water groups during overall analyses.
Overall analysis and sensitivity analysis

**24h Urine Volume with High Water Intake:** Fourteen studies were included in the quantitative synthesis of 24h urine volume with high water intake. In overall analyses, high water intake individuals had a significantly increased urine volume versus controls (SMD=0.52 L; 95% CI: 0.19, 0.84; p=0.002). High heterogeneity was apparent between all qualified studies, with an I2 =99% and Pheterogeneity <0.00001 (Figure 2). A sensitivity analysis was also performed by removing 1 study at a time to see whether the omission of the study influenced the overall results. None of included studies would be excluded, since there was no significant decrease the I2 when omission of each study at a time.

**Relative Super saturation of Uric Acid with High Water Intake:** Four studies were included in a quantitative synthesis of relative supersaturation of uric acid with high water intake. The risk factor of relative supersaturation of uric acid decreased significantly versus controls (SMD=-0.96; 95% CI: -1.70, -0.22; p=0.01). High heterogeneity was apparent between all qualified studies, with an I2=96% and Pheterogeneity <0.00001 (Figure 3). In a sensitivity analyses, the pooled SMD of relative supersaturation of uric acid changed to -0.61 (95% CI: -0.76, -0.46; p=0.00001) with a statistical significance remaining after omission of the LOURIS BORGHI Study. The heterogeneity was also reduced with this omission, with an I2=0% and Pheterogeneity =0.92.

**24 h Urinary Uric Acid Excretion with High Water Intake:** Fourteen studies were included in quantitative synthesis of 24h urinary uric acid excretion with high water intake. The risk factor of urinary uric acid was not significantly related to high water intake (SMD=7.32mg/d; 95% CI: -52.27, 66.91; p=0.81). A high heterogeneity was apparent with an I2=91% and Pheterogeneity <0.00001 (Figure 4). In sensitivity analyses, the pooled SMD of 24h urinary uric acid decreased to -7.31mg/d (95% CI: -39.30, 24.67; p=0.65) when omitting the Dzeranov, N. K. Study and decreased to -17.98mg/d (95% CI: -41.65, 5.69; p=0.14) when omitting the O. V. Konstantinova Study further. The heterogeneity was also reduced, with I2=54% Pheterogeneity=0.01 and I2=21% Pheterogeneity=0.24 respectively.
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24 h Urinary pH with High Water Intake: Eleven studies were included in quantitative synthesis of 24h urinary pH with high water intake. The UAN risk factor urinary pH was not significantly related to high water intake (SMD=0.14, 95% CI: -0.02, 0.31; p=0.09). It appeared a high heterogeneity, with an I²=93% and Pheterogeneity <0.00001 (Figure 5).

In sensitivity analyses, as omitting the O. V. Konstantinova Study led the results to become statistically significant versus controls, with the pooled SMD of relative 24h urinary pH changed to 0.18 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.33; p=0.02). The heterogeneity was also reduced, with an I²=83% and Pheterogeneity <0.00001.

Subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis

Subgroup analyses were conducted regarding subject category (healthy subjects vs stone formers), the type of water intake (regular water vs mineral water), and study type (Randomized Controlled Trial vs Self-controlled Study).

Subgroup Analysis on Healthy Subjects vs Stone Formers: For 24h urinary uric acid, subgroup analysis stone former status revealed that there was moderate heterogeneity between healthy subjects and stone formers with an I²=61.6% and Pheterogeneity =0.11; and high water intake was associated with significantly decreased only in healthy subjects (SMD=-38.61 mg/d, 95% CI: -66.75, -10.47; p=0.007; I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.53); with no significant changes in stone formers (SMD=26.61 mg/d, 95% CI: -47.47, 100.69; p=0.48; I²=93%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 6). When omitting the Dzeranov NK. Study in the stone former group, the pooled SMD of 24h urinary uric acid decreased to 5.92mg/d (95% CI: -38.68, 50.51; p=0.79; I²=70%, Pheterogeneity=0.001); when omitting the OV Konstantinova Study further the pooled SMD decreased to -9.37mg/d (95% CI: -49.26, 30.53; p=0.65; I²=58%, Pheterogeneity=0.03).

The subgroup analysis revealed that there was no significant heterogeneity between healthy subjects and stone formers for 24h urinary pH, with an I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.98. There was slightly increased urinary pH with high water intake SMD=0.14 (95% CI: -0.04, 0.31; p=0.12; I²=78%, Pheterogeneity=0.0001) in healthy subjects and SMD=0.53 L/d (95% CI: 0.01, 1.06; p=0.05; I²=99%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) in stone formers (Figure 7).

The subgroup analysis revealed that there was no significant heterogeneity between healthy subjects and stone formers with an I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.69. Significantly decreased relative supersaturation of uric acid was only observed with high water intake in healthy subjects, with SMD=-1.10 (95% CI: -1.27, -0.92; p=0.00001; I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.85); and slightly decreased in stone formers, with SMD=-0.81 (95% CI: -2.21, 0.58; p=0.25; I²=98%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 9). When omitting the LORIS BORGHI Study in stone former subgroup, the pooled SMD of relative supersaturation of uric acid decreased significantly -0.17 (95% CI: -0.34, -0.00; p=0.05; I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.98).

Subgroup Analysis on Regular Water vs Mineral Water: There was moderate heterogeneity between regular water and mineral water subgroups for 24 h uric acid excretion with an I²=70.5%, Pheterogeneity=0.07. Uric acid excretion was significantly decreased only in regular water intake group (SMD=-61.49 mg/d, 95% CI: -120.74, -2.24; p=0.04; I²=31%, Pheterogeneity=0.20); with no significant changes in the mineral water studies (SMD=31.79 mg/d, 95% CI: -47.87, 111.44; p=0.43; I²=95%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 10). When omitting the Dzeranov NK. Study in mineral water group, the pooled SMD of 24h urinary uric acid decreased to -0.53 mg/d (95% CI: -43.67, 42.61; p=0.98;
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I2=73%, Pheterogeneity=0.0009); when omitting the O. V. Konstantinova Study further the pooled SMD decreased to -18.85 mg/d (95% CI: -52.63, 14.92; p=0.27; I2=53%, Pheterogeneity=0.06). There was no significant heterogeneity between the regular water and mineral water groups with an I2=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.72. High water intake was associated with significantly increased 24h urine volume both in the regular water group and mineral water group with SMD=0.48 L/d (95% CI: -0.00, 0.96; p=0.05; I2=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) and SMD=0.58 L/d (95% CI: 0.27, 0.90; p=0.0003; I2=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) respectively (Figure 11).

There was moderate heterogeneity between the regular water and mineral water groups for urinary pH with an I2=72.5%, Pheterogeneity=0.06. Urinary pH increased only in the mineral water group with SMD=0.26 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.31; p=0.03; I2=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001). There no significant changes of urinary pH in mineral water group with SMD=-0.00 (95% CI: -0.13, 0.13; p=0.98; I2=0%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 12). There was no significant heterogeneity between healthy subjects and stone formers with an I2=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.44. There was a significant decrease in relative supersaturation of uric acid only in the mineral water group with SMD=-0.63 (95% CI: -1.13, 0.87; p<0.00001; I2=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) and slightly decreased in regular water group, with SMD=-1.07 (95% CI: -2.17, 0.03; p=0.06; I2=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001)
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(Figure 7). When omitting the BORGHI study, the pooled SMD of relative supersaturation of uric acid decreased significantly with SMD = -0.60 (95% CI: -0.82, -0.37; p<0.00001; I²=0%, Pheterogeneity=0.73).

Subgroup Analysis on Randomized Controlled Trial vs Self-controlled Study: Subgroup analysis via study type revealed that there was high heterogeneity between randomized controlled trial and self-controlled studies for 24h
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Figure 9: Forest plot of included studies comparing relative supersaturation in subgroup analyses on the subject condition; using random-effects meta-analysis. Diamond data markers, pooled SMD and 95% CIs for outcomes of interest.

There were no significant changes in uric acid excretion in both randomized controlled trials (SMD = -32.08 mg/d, 95% CI: -67.85, 3.69; p = 0.08; Not applicable heterogeneity) and self-controlled studies (SMD = 10.43 mg/d, 95% CI: -55.95, 76.82; p = 0.76; I² = 91%, Pheterogeneity < 0.00001) respectively (Figure 14). After omitting the Dzeranov study the pooled SMD of 24hr volume decreased to -3.79 mg/d (95% CI: -67.85, 3.69; p = 0.84; I² = 54%, Pheterogeneity = 0.01); Omitting the Konstantinova study the pooled SMD further decreased to -14.90 mg/d (95% CI: -41.57, 33.99; p = 0.08; I² = 54%, Pheterogeneity = 0.01); Omitting the Dzeranov study the pooled SMD decreased to -18.82 mg/d (95% CI: -39.47, 1.83; p = 0.07; I² = 0%, Pheterogeneity = 0.46).

There was high heterogeneity between randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies for 24hr volume with an I² = 98%, Pheterogeneity < 0.00001. High water intake was associated with significantly increased 24hr urine volume both in randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies with SMD = 1.35 L/d (95% CI: -1.29, 1.41; p = 0.00001; Not applicable heterogeneity) and SMD = 0.58 L/d (95% CI: -
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The subgroup analysis revealed that there was high heterogeneity between randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies for urine pH with an I²=91.9%, Pheterogeneity=0.00004. Urine pH significantly increased only in the randomized controlled trials with SMD=0.45 (95% CI: 0.33, 0.57; p=0.03; Not applicable heterogeneity). There no significant changes in the mineral water group with SMD=0.11 (95% CI: -0.04, 0.26; p=0.14; I²=89%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 16). After omitting the Konstantinova study the pooled SMD of 24h urinary pH 0.27, 0.90; p=0.0003; I²=97%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) respectively (Figure 15).

The subgroup analysis revealed that there was high heterogeneity between randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies for urine pH with an I²=91.9%, Pheterogeneity=0.0004. T Urine pH significantly increased only in the randomized controlled trials with SMD=0.45 (95% CI: 0.33, 0.57; p=0.03; Not applicable heterogeneity). There no significant changes in the mineral water group with SMD=0.11 (95% CI: -0.04, 0.26; p=0.14; I²=89%, Pheterogeneity<0.00001) (Figure 16). After omitting the Konstantinova study the pooled SMD of 24h urinary pH
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increased to 0.14 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.30; p=0.07; I²=78%, P heterogeneity<0.00001); after omitting the Torsten Keûler study the pooled SMD changed to 0.09 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.02; p=0.07; I²=38%, P heterogeneity<0.0001); and after omitting the Siener Study further the pooled SMD changed to -0.07 (95% CI: -0.00, 0.15; p=0.05; I²=0%, P heterogeneity=0.45). The subgroup analysis revealed that there was high heterogeneity between randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies for relative SS with an I²=98.8%, P heterogeneity<0.00001. Relative supersaturation of uric acid fell both in randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies with an SMD=-2.06 (95% CI: -2.33, -1.79; p<0.00001; Not applicable heterogeneity) and SMD=-0.61 (95% CI: -0.76, -0.46; p<0.00001; I²=0%, P heterogeneity<0.90) (Figure 17). After omitting the Torsten Keûler Study the pooled SMD of relative supersaturation of uric acid decreased with a SMD=-0.62 (95% CI: -0.83, -0.42; p<0.00001; I²=0%, P heterogeneity=0.67).

**Evaluation for publication bias**

Assessment of publication bias was completed with a funnel summarized in figures 18-21. The overall funnel plot was moderately asymmetrical in shape confirming a publication bias.

**Strength and limitations**

The present study’s comprehensive literature search with no languages restrictions and initial date of publication lends
High water intake in preventing the risk of Uric Acid Nephrolithiasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

4.2.2 Self-controlled study

Corey M. Passman 2009 2.31 0.48 8 1.68 0.82 8 5.9% 0.93 [0.13, 0.13]
Diane S. Sweeney 2009 2.03 0.3 24 1.95 0.91 24 7.1% 0.28 [-0.20, 0.78]
Diazcoq N. K. 2008 2.63 0.24 12 1.73 0.08 12 6.1% 0.87 [0.73, 1.01]
Fahad A. Aiyami 2011 2.18 0.62 20 2.2 0.26 20 7.4% -0.02 [-0.41, 0.37]
Kim Chen 2016 1.36 0.45 24 1.53 0.45 24 7.9% 0.03 [0.23, 0.29]
Mojd Mirzanejad 2012 1.82 0.7 27 1.45 0.62 27 6.2% 0.47 [0.29, 0.58]
Marino MARANGONI 1996 2.56 0.61 63 1.75 0.44 63 7.9% 0.91 [0.56, 1.04]
O. V. Konstantinov 2013 2.37 0.12 36 2.35 0.14 36 6.2% 0.02 [0.03, 0.07]
R. Stenner 2004 2.74 0.43 18 1.9 0.54 18 7.7% 0.84 [0.52, 1.16]
Rodgers A. L. 1997 2.15 0.47 160 1.37 0.61 160 8.2% 0.78 [0.73, 0.83]
Stacey A. Koff 2007 1.9 0.7 21 1.8 0.6 21 7.4% 0.10 [-0.28, 0.48]
Toreten Koller 2010 2.3 0.54 24 2.02 0.49 24 7.6% 0.28 [0.01, 0.57]
Subtotal (95% CI) 617 361 91.8% 0.43 [0.18, 0.68]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.17, I² = 27.95, df = 11 (P < 0.00001); I² = 67% Test for overall effect Z = 3.37 (P = 0.0007)

Figure 15: Forest plot of included studies comparing 24h urine volume in subgroup analyses on study type; using random-effects meta-analysis. Diamond data markers, pooled SMD and 95% CIs for outcomes of interest.

4.3.1 Randomized controlled trial

Di Silvestro, F. 1994 5.83 0.68 200 5.38 0.48 200 10.4% 0.45 [0.33, 0.57]
Subtotal (95% CI) 200 100.0% 0.45 [0.33, 0.57]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 7.65 (P < 0.00001)

Figure 16: Forest plot of included studies comparing 24h urinary pH subgroup analyses on study type; using random-effects meta-analysis. Diamond data markers, pooled SMD and 95% CIs for outcomes of interest.

The studies were conducted in eight countries, including developed and developing countries. To our knowledge, this is the first study employing a meta-analysis that evaluated the association of high water intake for preventing the risk of uric acid kidney stones, providing a more refined analysis than some prior studies. The strengths of our study were that it assessed the magnitude of the effects of high water intake for preventing uric acid nephrolithiasis, and synthesized the standard mean difference changes of individual urinary profiles. The results suggested that high water intake likely had effect to prevent uric acid nephrolithiasis. Furthermore, subgroup and sensitivity analyses suggested that our study’s results were robust in most cases, which strengthens the conclusions of our study. Certain limitations of this study should be considered. The first is the variability of water intervention. The included studies used several types of water including mineral water (with low hardness 110 mg/L, moderate hardness 180 mg/L, 150 mg/L, and high hardness 200 mg/L).
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and high hardness 280 mg/L), regular water (tap water, carbonated, boiling water, bottled water, distilled water, tea, et al.). Only three studies described the pH of consumed water [30,34,36]. The volume and duration of water intake also varied. Furthermore we get water not only directly as water intake but from solid forms of food, fruits and vegetables, and also a small amount of metabolic water [43-45]. Although the water intake varied, the comparison was between water

Figure 17: Forest plot of included studies comparing relative supersaturation of uric acid subgroup analyses on study type; using random-effects meta-analysis. Diamond data markers, pooled SMD and 95% CIs for outcomes of interest.

Figure 18: Funnel plot of included studies in the meta-analysis for the risk factor of 24h urinary uric acid excretion with and without high water intake. MD=Mean difference, SE = standard error.

Figure 19: Funnel plot of included studies in the meta-analysis for the risk factor of 24h urine volume with and without high water intake. MD=Mean difference, SE = standard error.

Figure 20: Funnel plot of included studies in the meta-analysis for the risk factor of 24h urinary pH with and without high water intake. MD=Mean difference, SE = standard error.

Figure 21: Funnel plot of included studies in the meta-analysis for the risk factor of relative supersaturation of uric acid with and without high water intake. MD=Mean difference, SE = standard error.
intervention and baselines or controls, and in most studies the diet was the subject’s usual diet. There was high heterogeneity in the overall analyses for 24h urinary uric acid excretion, urine volume, urinary pH and relative supersaturation of uric acid. However, in a sensitivity analysis for 24h urine volume and relative supersaturation of uric acid, when 1 or 2 studies are omitted to reduce heterogeneity, the results remained significant. In a sensitivity analysis for 24h urinary pH when the Konstantinova study was omitted the results became statistically significant.

Publication bias is one of the most important issues in meta-analysis, and we cannot deny the possibility of such bias [46]. Although the literature search was performed with no languages restrictions and beginning date of publication, we could have inadvertently missed eligible studies. There could be publication bias in this present systematic review. Indeed the funnel-plot assessment showed evidence for publication bias in this meta-analysis [47]. In present study the sensitive analysis indicated that the analysis used a small number of studies with high heterogeneity, which could also introduce bias. However, considering that the quality of studies of water intake may be affected by many confounding biases, these limitations may be acceptable. The subgroup analyses were similar to those of the overall analyses. The third limitation was the study design and small number of participants. This systematic review included 895 participants, and the number of participants per study ranged from 6 to 100. Most of the studies were self-controlled; only two RCT studies with 399 participants were included. Research indicates that random errors induced by a small numbers of studies might cause bias in the results of meta-analyses [48]. Furthermore, it was difficult to include placebo control in water intake intervention, so that self-controlled studies were performed in most. However, the subgroup analysis of study type indicated that similar changes of urine volume, relative supersaturation of uric acid and 24h urinary pH were observed in randomized controlled trials and self-controlled studies.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of UAN is increasing recent years, and now accounts for approximately 7% to 10% of kidney stone formers [1,3]. Multiple factors contribute to the formation of uric acid kidney stones. The most important risk factor is persistently low urinary pH, followed by low urinary volume, and hyperuricosuria [7,49,50]. When urinary pH falls below 5.5, urinary urate exists largely as uric acid which can precipitate as uric acid crystals [51,52]. Hyperuricosuria is the second risk factor of UAN [50]. Low urinary output also increases the concentration of lithogenic solutes [53]. The prevention and treatment of UAN thus includes urine alkalization as well as hydration (increasing urine volume above 2000 ml daily) [54,55]. Medical dissolution treatment including urine dilution, fluid intake, and alkalization is effective in many [8]. This is the first meta-analysis to confirm a significant association between high water intake and a lower risk of uric acid kidney stones. The overall analysis indicated that high water intake increased 24h urine volume (SMD=0.52 l; 95% CI: 0.19, 0.84; p=0.002) and decreasing relative supersaturation of uric acid (SMD=-0.96; 95% CI: -1.70, -0.22; p=0.01). Sensitivity analyses showed unstable results for 24h urinary pH. However after omitting the Konstantinova study results became statistically significant (SMD=0.18; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.33; p=0.02) After omitting the Dzeranov and Konstantinova studies results for UA excretion reversed with the SMD changing from 7.32mg/d (95% CI: -52.27, 66.91; p=0.81) to -17.98mg/d (95% CI: -41.65, 5.69; p=0.14). Further subgroup analyses revealed similar results for 24h urine volume and relative supersaturation of uric acid. The results persisted in a number of subgroup and sensitivity analyses, suggesting that the findings are not likely to be completely explained by confounding. In subgroup analysis 24h urinary pH significantly increased (Total SMD=0.14; 95% CI: 0.00, 0.27; p=0.04) with similar increases in healthy subjects (Subtotal SMD=0.14; 95% CI: -0.04, 0.31; p=0.12) and stone formers (Subtotal SMD=0.13; 95% CI: -0.08, 0.34; p=0.21). Subgroup analyses revealed high water intake decreased uric acid excretion more in healthy subjects than stone formers, with SMD -38.61 mg/d vs 26.61 mg/d; 24h urinary pH subgroup analyses shown significantly increased pH in mineral water intake group vs regular water intake group, with SMD 0.14 vs -0.00. This result suggests high water intake can significantly reduce relative supersaturation of uric acid and increase urine volume. Even though weak, the observed reduction in 24h urinary uric acid excretion and increased urinary pH with high water intake should favor uric acid crystal dissolution in renal tubules and flushing away of uric acid micro-crystals [12].

Overall, this meta-analysis presents evidence that high water intake intervention can reduce the risk factors of uric acid kidney stones. Drinking water is a simple and cost-effective preventive method for kidney stone prevention, and is recommended by most healthcare facilities and clinical guidelines [14,15,56,57]. The current findings have important public health implications in light of the current epidemic of uric acid kidney stones [3,58]. Recent epidemiological studies suggest that kidney stones are a risk factor for acute and chronic kidney injury [59,60], kidney cancer [61], metabolic disorders [62], and cardiovascular disease [63]. Therefore water intake for prevention of uric acid stones has multiple potential benefits.

**Conclusion**

High water intake can help prevent UAN by increasing urine volume, decreasing UA concentration, and depending on the fluid type increasing urinary pH, thus decreasing relative super saturation of uric acid. Current evidence is not strong due to a relatively small number of studies and participants. Thus high quality clinical studies should be completed to confirm the effects of water intake for prevention of UAN.
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