Update on Phenobarbital for Alcohol Withdrawal Syndrome in Intensive Care
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Abstract

Alcohol abuse is a global health problem. Alcohol withdrawal syndrome (AWS) ranges from mild to severe symptoms that can lead to fatal delirium tremens requiring ICU admission and incurring high health care cost as high as $20,000 a month. The latest published reports suggest that phenobarbital is a promising therapeutic option for management of AWS as evidenced by less ICU admissions, length of stay in hospital, use of adjunctive agents, health care costs and attention from the nursing staff than that of patients treated with commonly used benzodiazepines such as lorazepam, diazepam, and chlordiazepoxide. Phenobarbital is beneficial for the treatment of AWS, both in the emergency and inpatient settings and both as monotherapy or in conjunction with benzodiazepines. It is safe for patients without severe hepatic impairment, has a better mechanism of action and longer half-life than benzodiazepines, and leads to less delirium and agitation. Powered randomized controlled trials with large populations are required, yet phenobarbital can be used to safely to treat AWS.

Introduction

Alcohol abuse is a global health problem, ranking seventh among the leading causes of death and disability [1]. 2 to 7% of patients with heavy alcohol use admitted for general medical care develop severe AWS [2]. Symptoms of AWS occur because alcohol is a central nervous system (CNS) depressant. AWS is a fatal medical condition characterized by an imbalance between inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA (γ-aminobutyric acid) and excitatory neurotransmitter NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate) receptor stimulation secondary to chronic ethanol intake [3]. Mild withdrawal symptoms like insomnia, tremulousness, mild anxiety, gastrointestinal upset, anorexia, headache, diaphoresis and palpitations are due to CNS hyperactivity. They usually appear within 6 hours of the last alcoholic drink. Alcohol withdrawal seizures usually occur within 12 to 48 hours after the last drink predominantly in patients with a long history of chronic alcoholism. Such seizures left untreated may develop delirium tremens (DT). Thus, AWS ranges from mild to severe symptoms that can lead to fatal DT requiring ICU admission and incurring high health care cost. Severe AWS is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality [2]. The mortality rate from severe AWS is as high as 20% if untreated. Early recognition and improved treatment can reduce the mortality rate about 1-5% [4]. So it requires admission to the emergency department (ED) and proper pharmacologic management [5]. About 15 million Americans suffer from alcohol use disorder, and AWS is a common cause of ED admission [6]. For AWS long-term treatment at a private rehab facility the costs can rise as high as $20,000 a month. Programs may last up to 90 days, which can push the costs up to $60,000 for a full withdrawal and rehab treatment program [7].

AWS is usually managed with standardized administration of benzodiazepines, supportive care, and continuous evaluation using a validated clinical scale such as the revised Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol scale (CIWA-Ar). This protocol of CIWA-Ar–based administration of benzodiazepines has many defects. It puts a heavy burden on the nursing staff, as it requires very frequent monitoring of the patients. Severe AWS accounts for only 10% of the roughly 500,000 annual cases of AWS episodes that require pharmacologic treatment. Treatment is typically centered on supportive care and symptom-triggered benzodiazepines. But some of these 10% patients are refractory to benzodiazepines, defined as >10 mg lorazepam equivalents in 1 hour or >40 mg lorazepam equivalents in 4 hours [8]. Doses exceeding this threshold provide little benefit and
put patients at risk for increase morbidity and mortality, over sedation, ICU delirium, respiratory depression and hyperosmolar metabolic acidosis. Many clinicians feel uneasy giving escalating metabolic doses of diazepam (starting from 5 mg and rising to 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, and even 80 mg per dose) every 5 minutes. The patients often get under-dosed, develop DT and require intubation for airway protection. Large cumulative doses of lorazepam may develop both delirium and respiratory depression. Other drugs like dexmedetomidine and propofol can be used adjunctively to reduce the total amount of benzodiazepines given or to control refractory symptoms. But this may increase cost in the case of dexmedetomidine or require mechanical ventilation in the case of propofol. Therefore, clinicians are always in search of alternative strategies for treating AWS [9]. A simple and practical phenobarbital protocol has yet to be established. The latest published reports and our recent treatment of an 81-year-old patient suggest that phenobarbital to be a promising drug for AWS as evidenced by less ICU admissions, length of stay in hospital, use of adjunctive agents, health care costs and attention from the nursing staff than that of patients treated with commonly used benzodiazepines.

**Advantages of phenobarbital over benzodiazepines**

Following are a few potential advantages of phenobarbital over more commonly used benzodiazepines in the treatment of AWS:

- **Longer Half Life:** The half-life of phenobarbital is 80-120 hours, compared to lorazepam’s half-life of 14-20 hours, with the duration of sedation being very similar between the two drugs: 4-10 hours for phenobarbital and 6-8 hours for lorazepam. Phenobarbital’s long half-life helps treat severe AWS and prevents seizures and fatal DT [10]. The long half-life of phenobarbital eases the burden of administration compared with benzodiazepines, which may need to be given more than once per hour. Phenobarbital’s long half-life also allows for a gradual transition off of therapy after the last dose is given.

- **Tapering Effect:** Phenobarbital also has a tapering effect as the medication wears off. Therefore, there is no need for additional outpatient prescriptions like chloridiazepoxide [5]. An AWS patient with adequate symptom control after treatment with phenobarbital can be discharged home with no prescriptions. Since these patients do not require a discharge prescription of benzodiazepines to prevent continued withdrawal symptoms, they are protected from the dangers of misuse or overdose of these drugs.

- **Better Mechanism of Action:** Alcohol simultaneously enhances inhibitory tone via modulation of GABA activity and inhibits excitatory tone via modulation of excitatory amino acid activity. Phenobarbital is a barbiturate that, like benzodiazepines and alcohol, targets GABA receptors in the CNS. It is a promising drug for AWS because of its potentiating activity on GABA receptors and its antagonizing activity on N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) and α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) receptors [11,12]. Its mechanism of action differs from that of benzodiazepines which act solely to potentiate GABA without affecting the increased activity of glutamate on neuronal receptors. In other words, both phenobarbital and benzodiazepines work by inhibiting GABA receptors, but phenobarbital has an additional benefit of suppressing the excitatory glutamate receptors. Therefore, phenobarbital causes less delirium and agitation than that of benzodiazepines.

**Safer in Severe AWS:** Phenobarbital should be used particularly in case of severe AWS, which is often refractory to benzodiazepines [5]. A loading dose of 10-15 mg/kg given over 30 minutes is unlikely to lead to serum levels associated with respiratory depression [5]. Phenobarbital, in addition to benzodiazepines, reduces need for mechanical ventilation in the ICU setting and leads to shorter lengths of ICU stay. A loading dose of 10 mg/kg of phenobarbital, in addition to a lorazepam protocol, significantly reduces ICU admissions (8 vs 25%), in contrast to when lorazepam used alone [5].

**Phenobarbital doses**

Phenobarbital for AWS can be used in many ways. One way is to use a 10 mg/kg of ideal body weight loading dose over a 30-minute period. Loading doses are safe when phenobarbital is used alone, but should be used with caution when the patient has received other sedative medications, such as benzodiazepines, as over-sedation can occur [5]. Another approach is to forego the loading dose and give boluses of 130 mg IV over 3 minutes for mild symptoms, or 260 mg IV over 5 minutes for moderate to severe symptoms. Phenobarbital doses of 130 mg can be repeated every 30 minutes as needed and titrated to symptom relief, with a maximum of 1040 mg in 24 hours. Most patients need three doses of phenobarbital for symptoms control, similar to benzodiazepines [13]. In patients thought to have refractory DT, we give phenobarbital 130 to 260 mg IV, repeated every 15 to 20 minutes, until symptoms are controlled. Phenobarbital can also be given orally or intramuscularly, allowing for administration of this drug to patients who lack IV access. It should be avoided in patients with known allergy to barbiturates, and in those with severe hepatic impairment. Patients should always be monitored for respiratory depression during the administration of this drug (Table 1).

**Phenobarbital monotherapy**

Tidwell, et al. [14]. In their retrospective cohort study conducted from January 2016 through June 2017 at a 42-bed medical intensive care unit found phenobarbital protocol for AWS treatment as an effective alternative to the standard-of-care protocol of symptom-triggered benzodiazepine therapy. According to Faust and Westafer [15], phenobarbital monotherapy is easy and works great. Its dosing is far easier.
to keep straight. In case of benzodiazepines or other sedatives it is hard to deciding which one to give, how large a dose and how often. So, the phenobarbital monotherapy protocol is a promising option. In case of patients of AWS alone (i.e., no other active neurologic problem), simply give 10 mg/kg (ideal body weight) intravenously over 30 minutes. Then wait 30 minutes. If after 1 hour, the patient still requires more medication, give 130 mg (for mild symptoms) or 260 mg (for moderate to severe symptoms) IV given over 3 to 5 minutes, as needed every 30 minutes. There is little risk till the cumulative dose under 20 mg/kg IV. Phenobarbital can also be given oral and intramuscular ways. For further detail, log on to emcrit.org/pulmcrit/phenobarbital-reloaded. There are several benefits of phenobarbital monotherapy (Figure 1) [10].

In case of patients with AWS alone (i.e., no other active neurologic problem), simply give 10 mg/kg (ideal body weight) intravenously over 30 minutes. Then wait 30 minutes. If after 1 hour, the patient still requires more medication, give 130 mg (for mild symptoms) or 260 mg (for moderate to severe symptoms) IV given over 3 to 5 minutes, as needed every 30 minutes. There is little risk till the cumulative dose under 20 mg/kg IV. Phenobarbital can also be given orally and intramuscularly. Hence multiple approaches can be taken when using phenobarbital for acute withdrawal syndrome (Figure 2). For further detail, log on to emcrit.org/pulmcrit/phenobarbital-reloaded.

### Phenobarbital and hepatic impairment

Phenobarbital should be avoided if there’s marked hepatic impairment, but it can be safely given to patients with stable alcoholic liver disease. No dose adjustment is required. These patients should be monitored for adverse effects especially signs of hepatic coma. Lower doses should be safe but if higher doses are used there is risk for hepatic encephalopathy, monitoring for hypotension and bradycardia besides other parameters is advised. Most heavy drinkers have steatosis or steatohepatitis. Only 10-15% end up with cirrhosis [16-18]. That leaves us with good 85-90% patients with hepatic impairment who can benefit

### Table 1: Studies on phenobarbital for alcohol withdrawal syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt KJ, Doshi MR, Holzhausen JM, et al [5]</td>
<td>To summarize the literature pertaining to the pharmacotherapy of severe alcohol withdrawal</td>
<td>PubMed (January 1960 to October 2015) search yielded 739 articles of which 27 were included.</td>
<td>Benzodiazepines remain the treatment of choice, with diazepam having the most favorable pharmacokinetic profile. Propofol is a viable alternative for patients refractory to benzodiazepines</td>
<td>The roles of phenobarbital, dexmedetomidine, and ketamine remain unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenson J, Clements C, Simon B, et al [6]</td>
<td>To investigate whether a single dose of phenobarbital combined with a standardized lorazepam-based alcohol withdrawal protocol decreases ICU admission</td>
<td>51 patients received a single dose of phenobarbital (10 mg/kg in 100 mL normal saline) and 51 placebo (100 mL normal saline) in this prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>Phenobarbital reduced ICU admissions (8% vs. 25%, 95% confidence interval 4-32). There were no differences in adverse events.</td>
<td>A single dose of intravenous phenobarbital combined with a symptom-guided lorazepam-based alcohol withdrawal protocol decreases ICU admission and increases adverse outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendey GW, Dery RA, Barnes RL, et al. [13]</td>
<td>To compare phenobarbital (PB) versus lorazepam (LZ) in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal</td>
<td>Of 44 patients, 25 received PB, and 19 LZ in the ED and at 48 hours.</td>
<td>Both PB and LZ reduced CIWA scores from baseline to discharge (15.0±5.4 and 16.8±4.2, P &lt;.0001).</td>
<td>PB and LZ were similarly effective in the treatment of mild/moderate alcohol withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidwell WP, Thomas TL, Pouliot JD et al. [14]</td>
<td>To compare the benzodiazepine and phenobarbital protocols</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study conducted from January 2016 through June 2017 at a 42-bed ICU</td>
<td>Patients who received phenobarbital had significantly shorter stays in the ICU than those who received therapy based on the CIWA-Ar scale (mean [SD], 2.4 [1.5] vs 4.4 [3.9] days; P &lt;.001).</td>
<td>A phenobarbital protocol for AWS is an effective alternative to the standard-of-care protocol of symptom-triggered benzodiazepine therapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from phenobarbital even as mono therapy. Others should get phenobarbital in conjunction with benzodiazepines.

**Phenobarbital in conjunction with benzodiazepines**

Benzodiazepines increase the frequency of GABA chloride channel opening, and barbiturates such as phenobarbital increase the duration of channel opening. Therefore, they may work synergistically. A number of adjunctive treatments are used to manage patients with refractory DT. Phenobarbital is very effective for AWS patients treated with benzodiazepine [5]. In a randomized trial of 102 patients presenting to the ED with acute AWS, those treated with lorazepam and a single dose of IV phenobarbital had substantially lower ICU admission rates compared with those treated with lorazepam alone (8 versus 25 percent) [5]. Hence multiple approach can be taken when using phenobarbital for acute withdrawal syndrome (Figure 2). Tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation are frequently necessary if phenobarbital or propofol is used.

**Conclusion**

Phenobarbital hits NMDA receptors in addition to GABA. It has many advantages over benzodiazepine-based protocols. Powered randomized controlled trials with large populations are required, yet phenobarbital can be used to safely treat alcohol withdrawal syndrome both as monotherapy and in conjunction with benzodiazepines in the Intensive Care Unit.
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