Hemangiomas are known as congenital vascular malformations that can affect almost any organ or tissue, with the liver being the most common intra-abdominal organ to be involved. It is well known that hemangiomas are the most common benign tumours of the liver, and develop in about 4-20% of people, mainly young adult females. Recently, due to the dramatic rise in the use of imaging studies for different purposes, a parallel increase in the incidence of these tumours has been noticed. Most liver hemangiomas are small (less than 4cm in diameter), asymptomatic and found incidentally during abdominal operation for other indication or on radiologic studies. Giant liver hemangioma is defined as hemangioma with a diameter of more than 5cm. This unique and uncommon type of haemangioma usually poses therapeutic challenges for the treating physician, especially hepatic surgeons, due to the unclear natural history, and due to the risk of life threatening complications is yet to be established. While it is already proved by several studies that conservative management of giant hepatic hemangioma is safe, it is not known whether observation of the extremely large hepatic hemangioma (tumours larger than 10cm) is safe as well.

The aim of this article is to review the English literature to find out if conservative management of the extremely giant liver hemangioma is safe and can be recommended.

Abstract

Hemangiomas are known as congenital vascular malformations that can affect almost any organ or tissue, with the liver being the most common intra-abdominal organ to be involved. It is well known that hemangiomas are the most common benign tumours of the liver, and develop in about 4-20% of people, mainly young adult females. Recently, due to the dramatic rise in the use of imaging studies for different purposes, a parallel increase in the incidence of these tumours has been noticed. Most liver hemangiomas are small (less than 4cm in diameter), asymptomatic and found incidentally during abdominal operation for other indication or on radiologic studies. Giant liver hemangioma is defined as hemangioma with a diameter of more than 5cm. This unique and uncommon type of haemangioma usually poses therapeutic challenges for the treating physician, especially hepatic surgeons, due to the unclear natural history, and due to the risk of life threatening complications is yet to be established. While it is already proved by several studies that conservative management of giant hepatic hemangioma is safe, it is not known whether observation of the extremely large hepatic hemangioma (tumours larger than 10cm) is safe as well.

The aim of this article is to review the English literature to find out if conservative management of the extremely giant liver hemangioma is safe and can be recommended.

Introduction

Hemangiomas are well known congenital vascular malformations, and sometimes are referred to as cavernous hemangiomas or cavernomas. Although genetic predisposition has been proposed, the exact aetiology for this tumour is still unknown. These lesions can affect any organ site of the body, with the scalp and face being the most commonly affected sites [1]. Hemangiomas, a benign tumour that enlarge by ectasia rather than hypertrophy or hyperplasia, can vary in size from few millimetres to several centimetres in diameter [2]. The current evidence indicates that these tumours have no malignant potential. Intraabdominally, hemangioma can develop anywhere, such as solid organs, ligaments, hollow viscera or in the abdominal wall, with the liver being the most common abdominal organ to be involved [1]. Of all tumours affecting the liver, hemangioma is the second most common following metastasis and the most common benign mesenchymal tumour. Although its precise incidence is unknown, it is estimated to affect about 4-20% of the general population [3]. Recently, due to the dramatic increase in the number of people undergoing different radiologic imaging techniques, a parallel rise in the incidence of this tumour has been noticed [4]. Hepatic hemangiomas can affect any age group, with young adult females being the most common group to have this tumour (F:M ratio 5:1) [5]. Most of the tumours are detected between the third and the fifth decade of life. Macroscopically, these tumours are hypervascular, well- circumscribed lesions; while microscopically, they are described as variably sized vascular spaces lined by flat endothelial cells, filled with ectatic blood and separated by fibrous septa. Different types of hepatic hemangiomas were reported, with the cavernous subtype being the most common and constitute about 80%, while the papillary subtype is less common and is characterized by being usually smaller with multiple growths. Giant hemangioma has always been defined as tumour larger than 5cm diameter, but recently, new classification for these tumours according to size has been advocated; Small hemangiomas are tumours...
with a diameter of 1-2 cm, typical hemangiomas are 2 cm-10 cm in diameter and giant hemangiomas are larger than 10 cm [6,7]. Most physicians still define giant liver hemangioma as a lesion larger than 5 cm.

Most hepatic hemangiomas are small (less than 4 cm in diameter) and asymptomatic, and diagnosed incidentally either by imaging studies or during abdominal operations done for other surgical indications (Figure 1). For the majority of people who are known to have liver hemangioma, the natural history remains uneventful, with observations by means of regular follow ups and radiologic studies being adequate [8,9]. While almost all small asymptomatic hemangiomas are safely observed, the unclear natural history and the possibility of future complications for giant haemangioma, especially tumours larger than 10 cm in diameter, makes management of this specific type of tumour a controversial issue for the treating physician [10]. Indications for surgical interventions include diagnostic uncertainty, spontaneous or traumatic rupture, rapid lesion growth, consumptive coagulopathy (Kasabach-Merrit syndrome) and severe symptoms - mainly severe abdominal pain due to giant lesions causing stretching of the Glisson capsule of the liver [11-13].

Objective

The review article was performed to explore the different relevant studies in the English literature to figure out if conservative management of the extremely giant liver haemangiomas, larger than 10 cm, by wait and see strategy is safe and can be routinely recommended.

Methods

A search of studies was conducted on PubMed. A term strategy based on PICO acronym was adopted, using subjects heading and text words that allow to identify studies including patients with extremely large hepatic haemangioma (larger than 10 cm), who were assigned for conservative vs. non-conservative treatment.

The following search strategy was used on the PubMed database including the following search terms: "hepatic haemangioma" “haemangioma of the liver”, “giant liver haemangioma”, “conservative management of giant hepatic haemangioma”, giant haemangioma of the liver: conservative vs. surgical management”.

Literature Review

Reviewing the current English literature reveals countless studies, mainly retrospective and limited to single center experience, who approved that expectant management for the typical hepatic hemangioma is safe. The primary outcomes assigned in these studies differ based on the purpose of the study in question, the key outcomes discussed are the development of new symptoms and the incidence of complications. The risk of complications during observation of this specific type of tumor is low and stands at less than 20%. The risk for life threatening complications, such as spontaneous rupture, is extremely low at about 2%. Nevertheless, studies regarding the safety of expectant management of the extremely large hepatic hemangioma (larger than 10 cm), are lacking. Patients with this precise hepatic pathology were usually included as part of patients with giant liver hemangioma (larger than 5 cm). Thus, Data regarding management of the extremely large hepatic hemangiomas is subbar at best.

While some surgeons believe that extremely large hemangiomas have greater potential for rupture, growth or internal bleeding, and thus, prophylactic resection should be offered; In other author’s experience, size alone should be regarded as a poor predictor for future behavior of extremely large hepatic hemangiomas and should not be considered as an absolute indication.

The following is a review of the relevant studies. Upon conducting the aforementioned search algorithm seven studies reporting patients with extremely large hepatic hemangioma were found, these patients were part of a larger group of patients with giant hemangiomas. Out of these 7 studies, one study did not specify the different size of giant hemangioma, but nonetheless included due to its high number of patients.

All patients, 18 years or older, with hepatic hemangioma of 10 cm or larger were included. Articles examining hemangiomas smaller than 10 cm were excluded.

Studies were categorized into two groups; Supportive and opposed to the expectant management for the extremely large hepatic hemangiomas (larger than 10 cm).

Studies supporting expectant management

Schnell of reported a retrospective study over 20 years, including 289 patients with giant liver hemangiomas [14]. 233 patients were treated conservatively. 42 out of 233 (18%) had extremely large hemangiomas (more than 10 cm). The frequency of new onset of symptoms, such as chronic abdominal pain, and overall complications for patients with extremely large hemangiomas were not significantly greater than patients with hemangiomas smaller than 10 cm (14% vs. 7%) on a median follow up period of 11 years.
Moreover, a study conducted by Yedibela [15], which included 246 patients, demonstrated that only 9% of patients in the conservative management group developed complications, with two fatal cases reported due to traumatic rupture of the hepatic hemangioma. In this specific study, sizes of hemangiomas were not reported and no correlation between size and complications were conducted.

Herman, et al. [16] published an article summarizing 14 year of experience managing hepatic hemangiomas. 249 patients were included, with 16 patients (6.4%) having hepatic hemangioma larger than 10cm in diameter. This group of patients suffered refractory pain more frequently than the group with the lower scaled hemangiomas. 8 patients (all with tumor size more than 14cm) out of 16 patients, underwent surgery (lobectomy or segmentectomy) mostly due to untreated pain and all patients were free of pain on follow up. The other 8 patients with hemangiomas larger than 10cm were observed and did not develop any complications or new symptoms.

The results of the previous studies support the expectant management for the extremely large liver hemangioma.

**Studies opposed to expectant management**

On the contrary, Erdogan, et al. [12] reported a retrospective study including 34 patients with liver hemangioma, of which 14 patients underwent resection. The mean size of the tumor for patients who underwent surgery was 10.3cm and 52.6% suffered non spontaneous rupture of the hemangioma. In this specific study, the presence of symptoms, which usually include upper abdominal pain or discomfort, a non-related pathology (such as peptic ulcer disease or cholelithiasis) should be excluded before attributing the symptoms to the liver hemangioma [20]. While the mainstay management for giant hepatic hemangioma is clear, the treatment of the extremely large liver hemangioma (larger than 10cm) is not well defined and is regarded as a gray zone for several hepatic surgeons. None of the reported studies have examined the safety of expectant management for the extremely large hepatic hemangiomas. Furthermore, the definition of giant hepatic hemangioma in the English literature consists of a wide array of tumor sizes comprising a range of 5 to 30cm in tumor diameter. Thus, accumulating to the perplexity pertaining to the gold standard management of the extremely large hepatic hemangioma.

In his study, Giuliane [18] reported the approach for liver hemangioma in a single center with 74 patients included. 40 patients (out of 74) underwent surgical management due to different indications such as abdominal pain, uncertain diagnosis, Kasabach-Merrit syndrome and tumor enlargement. It is worth mentioning that the average tumor size for the operated group was 11.9cm vs. 6cm in the conservative group. Again, Giuliane study supports the assumption that hepatic hemangiomas larger than 10cm are indicated to be treated surgically due to several impending symptoms and complications.

Another study that builds on the same merit is the study by Zhang, et al. [19] which includes 86 patients with extremely large hepatic hemangiomas. 18 patients (21%) were asymptomatic and incidentally discovered. These patients underwent prophylactic surgical resection due to different indications, including concern of future fatal complications (such as rupture) and due to patient’s preference. Patients were not offered the opportunity of watchful waiting.

**Discussion**

Over the last several decades, a dramatic shift in the treatment of giant hepatic hemangioma has occurred, and it is mainly due to multiple studies published. The majority of these studies have approved that expectant management is safe and should be regarded as the gold standard treatment, moreover so in asymptomatic patients and in the absence of other indications for surgical management. Even in the presence of symptoms, which usually include upper abdominal pain or discomfort, a non-related pathology (such as peptic ulcer disease or cholelithiasis) should be excluded before attributing the symptoms to the liver hemangioma. While the mainstay management for giant hepatic hemangioma is clear, the treatment of the extremely large liver hemangioma (larger than 10cm) is not well defined and is regarded as a gray zone for several hepatic surgeons. None of the reported studies have examined the safety of expectant management for the extremely large hepatic hemangiomas.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, as the authors have tried to demonstrate in this review, granted the expectant management of giant hepatic hemangioma is previously evidenced as safe, data regarding the treatment of extremely large hemangiomas of the liver (larger than 10cm) is lacking, and current papers discussing this topic are conflicting in their conclusions. Due to the higher probability of associated symptoms and
indications for operation, a tumor scale higher than 10cm should be regarded as a relative indication for surgical or non-surgical intervention (such as angiography), precisely in such cases where the remaining pathologies that may explain the symptoms have been excluded.
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