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Abstract

Introduction: Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is a major cause of disability in the pediatric patient. Herein we describe the MRI/DWI findings in a case with DAI. We also discuss the current role of CT and MRI with DWI in the evaluation of DAI.

Aim of the study: To stress the role of diffusion-weighted imaging in diffuse axonal injury.

Methods: A pediatric patient, who was hospitalized in the ICU, was submitted to MRI with DWI for the evaluation of brain lesions. The patient was scanned with T1-weighted images, T2-weighted images, FLAIR, T2*-weighted images and diffusion weighted images.

Result: Brain lesions caused by DAI were more conspicuous on diffusion-weighted images compared to FLAIR images. T2*-weighted images were a helpful adjunct in showing micro-hemorrhages.

Conclusion: T2*-weighted images and FLAIR images alone underestimate the true extent brain lesions in DAI compared to DWI.

Introduction

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), is a common healthcare problem, a significant cause of injury and mortality, affecting almost 10 million people annually. In pediatrics, TBI is the leading cause of death and disability with a variable clinical manifestation depending on the severity of trauma.

TBI can be classified as mild, moderate or severe according to the GCS score recorded during patients’ admission to the ER department, the duration of loss or alteration of consciousness and post-traumatic amnesia [1].

Proceeding to a neuroimaging study in patients with TBI is essential, because it plays a critical role in both diagnosis and evaluation of these patients. Imaging findings are strongly correlated with future outcome in terms of neurological deficits as well as long term developmental and mental defects [2,3].

Imaging findings are classified into focal and diffuse injuries.

Focal injuries arising from collision forces comprise epidural or subdural hematomas, intraparenchymal hematomas and cerebral contusions.

Diffuse brain injury, resulting from rapid acceleration-deceleration of the head, is more severe than focal injuries and includes DAI and diffuse brain edema. Diffuse brain injury is usually associated with the poorest outcome [4-6].

In a pediatric brain trauma series, the incidence of DAI was only 3.5% being the least common radiological feature among patients. The most common radiological features were skull fracture (51.2%), epidural hemorrhage (31.3%) and subdural hemorrhage (17.6%) [7].

As mentioned previously DAI is the least common consequence of brain trauma, it bears however a heavier
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toll to the afflicted patient. Imaging the extent of DAI lesions is crucial to patient’s outcome. Conventional MRI sequences (T2-weighted images and FLAIR) underestimate brain lesions in DAI, compared to diffusion-weighted imaging. We herein stress the role of DWI as the single best sequence in imaging these cases when it comes to MRI.

Case report

Seven year old boy sustained brain injury after the collapse of a bulky metallic object on his head and necessitated intubation. CT scan revealed bilateral fractures of the temporal bones without intracranial hemorrhage or midline shift.

The child was hospitalized in the pediatric ICU and was treated according to the head injury protocol, including the insertion of intracranial pressure (ICP) monitor catheter. During hospitalization, ICP measurements remained within normal limits, permitting sedation interruption and extubation. Due to abnormal neurological examination (diminished unilateral mobility and absence of response to commands), the patient underwent brain MRI. The patient was extubated the 11th day of hospitalization and admitted to the rehabilitation centre, showing a progressive improvement.

Method

The patient was submitted to MRI using:

- Coronal, axial and sagittal FLAIR(TR8000msec/TE120msec) and slice thickness 4.5mm
- Axial DWI and ADC map(TR480msec/TE11msec) with b0 and b1000 mm²/sec and slice thickness 5mm
- Axial T1(TR228msec/TE4.8msec) and slice thickness 5mm
- Axial T2(TR4430msec/TE78msec) and slice thickness 5mm

Results

MRI demonstrated abnormal signal intensity that was more extensive in the DWI images than in T2WI/FLAIR.

Lesions were hyperintense on FLAIR and T2-WI. Micro hemorrhage was detected as low signal punctuate foci on T2*-WI. On DWI, the lesions were hyperintense and on the corresponding ADC map images the lesions were hypointense, in keeping with true diffusion restriction. Abnormal signal mainly involved the brain hemispheres (subcortical and deep white matter) and to a lesser extent the corpus callosum (Figures 1-4).

Discussion

Non-contrast Computed Tomography scan is the gold standard method for the initial investigation of brain trauma, as it is a fast and widely available modality [8,9]. The
most common abnormal CT findings include hemorrhagic (hyperdense) lesions such as extra-axial hemorrhage (EDH, SDH, SAH), intra-axial (i.e. intraparenchymal) hemorrhage and intraventricular (IVH) hemorrhage or non-hemorrhagic (hypodense) lesions.

Diffuse brain injuries can be evaluated and classified by the Marshall classification of traumatic brain injury (MCTC) system, proposed by Marshall, et al. [10]. The MCTC system evaluates three imaging findings: Mesencephalic cistern, midline shift, high/mixed density mass lesions. Patients are categorized into six different groups with higher categories having worse prognosis and survival rate.

Many years later, MCTC remains one of the commonest used prediction systems [5]. However, it exhibits limitations such as:

- failing to distinguish between subtypes of intracranial lesions
- does not evaluate for DAI

A more recent system of TBI classification, the Rotterdam CT Score, developed by Mass, et al. [11] has been suggested, to overcome limitations of the Marshall system.

Rotterdam CT score evaluates four parameters:

The degree of basal cistern compression, the midline shift, the presence of epidural hematomas and the presence of SAH or intraventricular hemorrhage. Higher scores are associated with an increased probability of an unfavorable outcome [12].

However, a negative CT scan cannot rule out DAI and Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is more sensitive for the diagnosis of suspected DAI [9].

Apart from its higher sensitivity for the detection of DAI, MRI has also the ability to detect brain edema and microhemorrhages [13], thus providing important information for long term survival and outcome [14].

Taking into account that the majority of patients with DAI are younger patients and children, accurate diagnosis and prognosis is very important and should be considered a sine qua non for an improved therapeutic plan. Indeed a survey, by Ferrazzano, et al. [15] showed that MRI is nowadays more applied, as valuable information may be either missed.

In cases of DAI, MRI may more specifically demonstrate small regions of susceptibility artifact at the grey-white matter junction, in the corpus callosum or the brain stem. In the acute phase, MRI may show high signal on FLAIR sequences of the injured axons due to edema, whereas in the chronic phase due to neuronal loss, lesions compatible with Wallerian degeneration may be visible.

T1 and T2-WI are essential for the temporal detection of hemorrhage [16,17], but underestimate the extent of DAI [18,19].

T2*-WI detect hemorrhage in 10%-30% of DAI patients, correlating well with the unconsciousness period [20].

FLAIR may detect many non-hemorrhagic lesions in DAI [21-23], but FLAIR and T2*-WI underrate the extent of axonal injury, within the first 48 h [24].

The ADC value is frequently decreased in acute axonal injury, due to reduced water diffusion, but may increase or stay unchanged in some cases [24].

Reduced ADC values may be caused either by trauma-induced ischemia and/or cytoskeleton collapse due to axotomy [25,26]. ADC values are affected by susceptibility effects [27].

Within the first few days [28], restricted diffusion is found in brain regions surrounding focal lesions, while interestingly these regions show no abnormal signal in T2-WI. The extent of DWI lesions, not visible with FLAIR and T2*-WI, correlates with better clinical results [29,30].

DWI is of added value for better mapping the extent of lesions in DAI and correlates well with the clinical outcome, affecting patient management in the acute phase [29].

Our results are consistent with previous reports in the literature. DWI answered the question why a patient may not be performing well while there are confined lesions on FLAIR imaging. This is because FLAIR alone underestimates the extent of brain lesions. DWI may not be readily available in all hospitals around the world; if available however it should be applied, as valuable information may be either missed.

**Conclusion**

Although being the least common brain trauma consequence, DAI has a significant impact on the affected patients, in terms of neurological status and the need for rehabilitation.

The primary role of CT, in the pediatric trauma setting, is defined mainly to excluding acute hematomas, in need of surgical intervention.

On the other hand, MRI is crucial in depicting DAI, in cases where the initial CT is read as negative, but the patient is still in a severe neurological status. MRI correlates better with the final outcome, than CT alone. Referring to MRI, DWI is an indispensable supplemental tool for assessing the true extent of brain lesions in the pediatric patient with DAI.
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