Validation of the Omron HBP-9031C blood pressure monitor for clinics and hospitals according to the ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2013 protocol

Kanako Saito1, Yukiko Hishiki1 and Hakuo Takahashi2*

1Omron Healthcare Co, Ltd, Muko City, Kyoto, 617-0002, Japan
2Department of Cardiology, Biwako Central Hospital, Otsu City, Shiga 520-0834, Japan

Abstract

Objective: The present study aimed to evaluate the accuracy of the Omron HBP-9031C automated oscillometric upper-arm blood pressure (BP) measurement device for blood pressure monitoring, according to the ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2013 protocol (ANSI/AAMI/ISO).

Participants and Method: The device evaluations were performed in 85 participants, who fulfilled the inclusion criteria of the protocol. The validation procedure and data analysis followed the protocol precisely.

Results: In the ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2013 validation procedure (criterion 1), the mean ± SD of the differences between the test device and reference BP was 0.5 ± 7.84/-1.9 ± 6.30 mmHg (systolic/diastolic). The mean differences between the two observers and the Omron HBP-9031C were 0.5 ± 6.69 mmHg (range, −18 to 15 mmHg) for systolic BP and −1.9 ± 5.63 mmHg (range, −17 to 14 mmHg) for diastolic BP, according to criterion 2. The two criteria of the ANSI/AAMI/ISO were fulfilled.

Conclusion: The professional OMRON BP monitor, HBP-9031C fulfilled the requirements of the ANSI/AAMI/ISO validation standard and can be recommended for clinical use.

Introduction

Monitoring and regulating blood pressure (BP) levels are crucial in the management of cerebral and cardiovascular diseases [1]. However, BP is always fluctuating depending on the effects of environmental factors on autonomic nervous system activity and diurnal variations [2]. Therefore, frequent and repeated measurement of BP under conditions of minimum environmental stress is recommended for the assessment of BP in individuals. For this purpose, fully automated BP monitors are increasingly replacing standard mercury sphygmomanometers [3]. No specific training is required to use these monitors and they avoid observer error. Moreover, mercury sphygmomanometers are no longer distributed on the market due to the possibility of mercury pollution. Furthermore, the white-coat effect is also minimized as healthcare professionals are not present during measurements.

The SPRINT study [4] from 2015 demonstrated novel findings with an automated office BP (AOBP) monitor using the oscillometric method to assess BP levels. In fact, Model 907 (Omron Healthcare) with the oscillometric method was used in that study; BP was measured three times in an isolated room by the patients to avoid the white-coat effect. In the meantime, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 31 articles comprising 9279 participants compared AOBP with awake ambulatory BP, a standard method for predicting cardiovascular risk, was published [5]. Mean AOBP readings were similar to the awake ambulatory BP readings and did not exhibit the "white-coat effect" associated with routine office BP measurements.

Based on the above background, to measure resting BP without white-coat effects by healthcare professionals, even in the clinic or hospital, the Omron HBP-9031C was developed. In the present study, we validated the accuracy of the Omron HBP-9031C according to the international protocols of the American National Standards Institute/
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Participants and Methods

Participants

All subjects recruited were volunteers. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Biwako Central Hospital and Omron Healthcare Co., Ltd., with written informed consent obtained from each subject. The study was performed in the measurement room of the Omron Healthcare Co. or Biwako Central Hospital by staff employed by Omron Healthcare Co., Ltd. (Kyoto, Japan). The devices were tested on 89 subjects according to ANSI/AAMI/ISO. In accordance with ANSI/AAMI/ISO, subjects were screened to ensure that sex, age, arm circumference, and BP readings fulfilled the participation requirements described in this protocol. Subjects with arrhythmias, those who moved their arms or bodies during the BP measurements, and those with unclear diastolic BP (DBP) during phase V of the Korotkoff sounds were excluded from this study.

Methods

Devices: The Omron HBP-9031C (Omron Healthcare Co., Ltd. Kyoto, Japan) (Figure 1), is designed to measure BP using an oscillometric method on the upper arm by patients in the clinic and hospital, and has a pressure and heart rate range of 0-299 mmHg and 40-180 beats/minute, respectively. Systolic blood pressure (SBP), DBP, and pulse rate are displayed on a liquid crystal digital monitor. The entire weight of the device is approximately 5.5 kg, and the dimensions of the device are approximately 460 mm × 270 mm × 420 mm (width × height × depth). The cuff can be used for arm circumferences ranging from 17–42 cm. Each measurement result of the device can be transferred and stored into a PC via USB, wired or wireless LAN.

Blood pressure measurements: For each experiment, the manufacturer provided standard production device models. The validation team for each device consisted of three observers who were experienced in measuring BP. They also underwent training through the British and Irish Hypertension Society’s online program (http://www.bihsoc.org). BP measurements were collected by alternating between the Korotkoff method with a mercury sphygmomanometer and the tested device. Simultaneous auscultations were performed by two observers using the double stethoscope (Y tube) when measured with the Korotkoff method. The two observers were blinded to each other’s readings, and the third observer served as a supervisor who checked the BP readings.

Procedure

The subjects were seated in a quiet room at a comfortable room temperature, and they were instructed to avoid talking during the procedure. BP was measured after subjects rested for 10 minutes. The subjects sat on a chair with their legs uncrossed and their feet flat on the floor. The chair had a supportive back as well as elbow and forearm rests. Each subject’s arm circumference was measured, and the cuff size was adapted for auscultation. All BP measurements were performed on the subject’s left arm at heart level. The devices were validated according to the same-arm, sequential method of the ANSI/AAMI/ISO protocol.

Analysis

Data analysis was performed according to ANSI/AAMI/ISO requirements. Data were expressed as a mean ± standard deviation (SD), and the minimum and maximum values with ranges were calculated. The mean of each pair of observer measurements was calculated as a reference value. The difference between the mean observer value and the test values were calculated according to the protocol and were displayed in Bland-Altman plots against the mean between the two values.

Results

According to the ANSI/AAMI/ISO guideline, a total of 89 subjects were screened for this experiment. After excluding 4 subjects based on the selection criteria (Table 1), a total of 85 subjects [37 males (44%) and 48 females (56%)] fulfilled the inclusion criteria requirements. The mean age was 50 ± 11.4 years (range, 20–74 years). The mean arm circumference was 30.5 ± 5.7 cm (range, 19.4–40.3 cm). The percentages of subjects were 20.0% (criteria ≥20%), 40.0% (≥40%), 60.0% (≥40%), and 24.7% (≥20%) in the arm circumference range of 17.0–23.2 cm, 17.0–29.5 cm, 29.6–42.0 cm, and 35.9–42.0 cm, respectively (Table 2).

Table 1: Screening and recruitment details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total screened</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Excluded</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmias</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality sounds</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuff size unavailable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement during BP measurement</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure variation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recruited</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The Omron HBP-9031C BP measuring device.
Using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer, the mean values of the 255 measurements were 124 ± 29.5 (range, 73–193) mmHg and 76 ± 17.8 (range, 39–127) mmHg for SBP and DBP, respectively.

The percentages for high (≥160 mmHg), medium (≥140 mmHg), and low SBP (≤100 mmHg) were 11.8% (criteria ≥5%), 30.6% (≥20%), and 24.7% (≥5%), respectively. The percentages of high (≥100 mmHg), medium (≥85 mmHg), and low DBP (≤60 mmHg) were 7.8% (criteria ≥5%), 35.7% (≥20%) and 20.8% (≥5%), respectively (Table 3).

The differences between the measurements recorded by two observers were 0 ± 1.4 mmHg and 0 ± 1.7 mmHg for SBP and DBP, respectively. The mean differences between the values recorded by two observers and the Omron HBP-9031C were 0.5 ± 7.84 mmHg (range, −23 to 19 mmHg) and −1.9 ± 6.30 mmHg (range, −19 to 16 mmHg) for SBP and DBP, respectively, according to criterion 1. These data fulfilled the ANSI/AAMI/ISO requirements of ≤5 ± ≤8 mmHg. The mean differences between the values recorded by two observers and the Omron HBP-9031C were 0.5 ± 6.69 mmHg (range, −18 to 15 mmHg) and −1.9 ± 5.63 mmHg (range, −17 to 14 mmHg) for SBP and DBP, respectively. Thereby, the SD is calculated to be less than 6.92 mmHg and 6.68 mmHg for SBP and DBP, respectively, according to criterion 2. These results fulfills the ANSI/AAMI/ISO requirements of ≤5 ± ≤8 mmHg (range, −19 to 16 mmHg) for SBP and DBP, respectively. Therefore, the present results of this validation study, by the ANSI/AAMI/ISO81060-2:2013 guidelines, are also highly appraised.

The Omron HBP-9031C is an installation-type BP monitor, which measures BP by the insertion of one’s arm through the cuff hoop and pressing the start button. The positioning of the arm is guided by the illumination of a green signal light when placed properly. The oscillometric method used in this device senses the pulses oscillations due to cuff pressure regardless of the position of the cuff around the upper arm, making it resistant to environmental factors except for arm movements. Since measurements with this device are very easy to manipulate, healthcare professionals must not be present when BP is measured to avoid white-coat effects. The results can be printed out and given to the attending doctor in the clinic or hospital. Those BP measurements will be superior to the traditional office BP measurements for the clinical management and control of BP.

The results of this study show that Omron HBP-9031C devices met the requirements of the ANSI/AAMI/ISO protocol, and may be useful for BP measurement in the clinic and hospital.
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