Abstract

Type 2 diabetes is a common disease in these days and day by day it is arising. The main focus of the study was to investigate association of packed cell volume (PCV), Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV), Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration (MCHC), Red Cell Distribution Width (RDW) with glycemic marker HbA1c. So that PCV, MCV, MCHC, RDW could be used as a predictor of glucoregulation in type 2 diabetes instead of HbA1c value. This study included 87 DMT2 patients, which divided into two groups, A (n=41, presence in diabetics ≤ 6.5-6.9%) and B (n=46, target in diabetes ≥ 7.0%), according to HbA1c values. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate the relationship between RBC count, MCHC, RDW with random blood sugar (RBS) and PCV, MCV, MCHC with HbA1c value. Binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the relationship between glycemic control, as dichotomous outcome of MCHC, RDW, PCV, and MCV as the main prognosticator. MCHC and RDW were significantly higher in the group B compared to the group A. RDW and MCHC may be applied as the auxiliary indicators of deterioration of glucoregulation.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a metabolic disorder caused either by the insufficient production of insulin in islet cells of the pancreas or by resistance against secreted insulin in tissue, leading to an elevation in the glucose concentration in blood. Several research have been published that plasma cholesterol level, blood pressure, micro albumin urea and hyperglycemia are closely associated with the progression of diabetes mellitus [1,2]. Supernumerary, serum uric acid level, carboxyl terminal pro peptide and retinal venular diameter also used significant indicators of diabetic complications [3,4]. Red cell distribution width (RDW) is a quantitative measure of variability in the size of circulating erythrocytes in cell sizes. Most interesting fact is that, RDW is a routinely reported to physicians in clinical practice as part of the automated complete blood count (CBC). RDW was strongly associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) events in middle aged and older adults [5-11]. Recent studies have been demonstrated that RDW may also be an effective prognosticator of morbidity and mortality in various disease such as P11[12,13], NAFLD[14], CHD[7,15-18], Stoke [19], atherosclerosis[20], prevalent dementia [21], IBD [22], ESRD [23].
and heart failure [24]. On the other hand, HbA1c is a marker of long term glucose homeostasis and is routinely used to assess the adequacy of glycemic control in diabetic patients. Many researches have been noted that HbA1c is associated with type 2 diabetes patients. As well as, HbA1c is a marker of long term glucose homeostasis and is routinely used to assess the adequacy of glycemic control in diabetic patients. [25-27]. HbA1c level increase with age, chronic subclinical inflammation and possibly oxidative stress and also in conditions that can adversely affect red blood cell survival. For example, hemolytic anemia, blood transfusion (BT0, chronic malaria or major blood loss) [25,28,29]. Platelets, another element of the complete blood count (CBC), play a key role in the development of atherothrombosis, a major role in intravascular thrombosis on top of atherosclerosis, resulting in cardiovascular diseases in diabetes with respect to altered platelet morphology and function [30,31]. Mean platelet volume (MPV) is and indicator of the average size and was suggested as an indicator of platelet activity and the state of thrombogenesis [32,33]. Some studies antiplatelets have been demonstrated as to be very effective at decreasing myocardial infarction, stroke and death [34]. In addition to, leukocytes are cognizant as to participate in the inflammatory process. Accompanying atherosclerosis. They are come round at the site of endothelial injury and form foam cells in the atherosomatosis plaque. WBC count is positively associated with increased cardiovascular mortality, mainly from coronary heart disease [35]. Previously our researches have been shown the association of mean platelet volume and platelet parameter with HbA1c [36]. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the relationship between RBC parameter such as RBC count, PCV, MCV, MCHC, RDW-CV and HbA1c value≥7.5 of diabetics’ patients. So that RBC parameter could be used as prognicator of diabetes instead of HbA1c test.

Material and Methods

Patients and research design

The study was directed in Lab science Diagnostics, (153/1, Green Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh). The study included 87 diabetic patients at least 5-6 months duration of diabetes from 02 April 2017 to 14 July 2015. In order to alleviate the impact of the confounding factors, hematomatological disorders, pregnancy and malignancy were factors for exclusion from the study. According to HbA1c value patients were divided into two groups: Group A (n=41 HbA1c≤ 6.5-6.9) and group (n=46 HbA1c≥7.0). Demographic clinical and laboratory data including age, gender, complete blood cell count, PCV(HCT), MCV, CMH, MCHC, RDW-CV, RBC count, fasting blood glucose (FBG), Random Blood Sugar (RBS), HbA1c and lipid profile (cholesterol, HDL, LDL and Triglycerides) in both groups were obtained.

Applied tactics

Blood cell count and HbA1c and other related parameters were measured. Blood samples were collected in tubes with EDTA anticoagulant. The tubes without anticoagulant were used for collecting blood for glucose and lipid profile measurement. Complete blood cell count was measured by symex XN-L series 350(6 part). HbA1c value was measured by fine care ID chips. Lipid profile, glucose, and other biochemistry profile were measured by ERBA Mannheim XL 200. Fasting blood glucose was measured using a hexokinase method. Standardization, calibration of instrument and processing of samples were done according to manufacturer instructions. All Data reserve into MYSOFT LTD in Lab science Diagnostics. The study approval was taken from the ethics committee of Lab Science Diagnostics.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by statistical packages for the social sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics) version 20 (Chicago, IL). All data were entered into excel program (Microsoft office 2007). IBM SPSS was used to find the significant difference of Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS), RBS, HbA1c, MPV, and PDW. Spearman’s correlation
coefficients were calculated to evaluate the relationship between MPV, PDW with HbA1c. Binominal logistic regression analysis was performed to assess independent relationship between RBC counts, PCV (HCT), MCV, CMH, MCHC and RDW-CV as the main prognosticator. The cut off value of PCV (HCT), MCV, CMH, MCHC and RDW-CV was determined for optimum sensitivity and specificity ratio of the diagnostic test. Positive and negative predictive values were calculated using recommended cut off value. Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. P value was considered statistically significant.

Result

According to the result of sample population are detailed in table 1. A total of 87 patients fulfilling the selection criteria included 37 (out of 87, 42.52%) females and 50 (out of 87, 57.47%) males. The average age of both patients was 25 to 80. The total number of study participants who were divided into two groups according to their diabetics status, 46 (out of 87, 52.87%) DMT2 patients were in the group B (target in diabetics, HbA1c value≥7.00), 41 (out of 87, 41.13%) in the group A (presence in diabetics, HbA1c value≥7.00). Statistically significant differences were observed between those groups for various parameters. In this study, significant differences among the groups for all examined demographic and biochemical characteristics. Median value of age, FBS, RBS, HbA1c, platelet count, Cholesterol, LDL, Creatinine, SGPT, SGOT, Alkaline phosphatase, RBC count, MCHC, RDW CV were significantly higher in the group B compared to the group A. On the other hand, HDL, Triglycerides, PCV, MCV, MCH value in the group B compared to group A was found (Table 1).

Further analysis showed significant positive correlation between RBS count (%) with RBS (mmol/L) in figure 1, MCHC (g/dL) with RBS (mmol/L) in figure 2, RDW CV (%) with RBS (mmol/L) in Figure 3 in the total sample. On the other hand, PCV (%) with HbA1c (%) in figure 4, MCV (FL) with HbA1c (%) in the figure 5, MCHC (g/dL) with HbA1c (%) in the Figure 6 was drown in the sample. There were no correlations individually RBS, HbA1c, RBC count, PCV, MCHC, MCV and RDWCV in the group A or Group B.

Binominal logistic regression analysis model with PCV, MCV, MCHC and RDW as a predictor of deterioration of glucoregulation was statically significant, Indicating that

| Table 1: Characteristics and differences of the study participants. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Characteristics/ Parameter  | DMT2            | Group A(n=41)   | Group B(n=46)   | P = significance |
| Age (Years)                 | 50±12.60        | 48(25-75)       | 55 (35-80)      | 0.265            |
| Female/Male,n (%)           | 37/50           | 16/25           | 21/25           | 0.011            |
| FBG (mmol/L)                | 10.8(6.1-18.9)  | 6.9(6.1-6.85)   | 11.65 (6.8-18.9)| 0.255            |
| RBS (mmol/L)                | 17.7(6.8-29.8)  | 7.25(1.20-14.20)| 17.7 (6.8-29.8)| 0.915            |
| HbA1c(%)                    | 7.05 (6.5-14.90)| 6.60 (6.5-6.9)  | 9.1 (7-14.90)   | 0.824            |
| Platelet count (/ccm)       | 274(10-559)x10^3| 268(150-559)x10^3| 277 (10-554)x10^3| 0.190            |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl)         | 195(140-310)    | 185(140-250)    | 206 (143-310)   | 0.140            |
| HDL (mg/dl)                 | 40(25-63)       | 41.5(32-55)     | 38.5 (25-235)   | 0.418            |
| LDL (mg/dl)                 | 106.5(50-170)   | 102(50-150)     | 108 (56-170)    | 0.655            |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)       | 209(11.5-1845)  | 200(90-1180)    | 198 (11.5-1845) | 0.847            |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)          | 1.10(0.75-6.15) | 1.10(0.75-2.50) | 1.15 (0.9-6.15) | 0.985            |
| SGPT (U/L)                  | 48.37 (20-235)  | 37.63 (20-65)   | 55.75 (23-235)  | 0.005            |
| SGOT (U/L)                  | 85 (22-370)     | 30 (22-39)      | 107 (22-370)    | 0.004            |
| Alkaline Phosphatase (U/L)  | 101.44(40-395)  | 48 (40-65)      | 144.20 (60-395) | 0.016            |
| RBC count(million/cmm)      | 4.54(1.27-7.09) | 4.51 (2.95-5.49)| 4.56 (1.27-7.09)| 0.608            |
| PCV-HCT(%)                  | 37.35(12.70-48.10)| 38.03(24.47-70)| 36.76(12.70-48.10)| 0.609            |
| MCV(L)                     | 83.06(60.60-104.10)| 84.25(60.60-92.30)| 82.02 (61.10-104.10)| 0.073            |
| MCHC(pg)                   | 26.94(18.10-34.40)| 27.21(18.10-30.20)| 26.72(18.70-34.40)| 0.289            |
| MCHC(g/dL)                  | 32.51(29.70-36.40)| 32.27(29.70-34.50)| 32.70(36.70-36.40)| 0.145            |
| RDW-CV (%)                  | 13.65(11.60-21.40)| 13.38 (11.60-21.40)| 13.89(11.60-18.70)| 0.200            |
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Table 2: Optimal cut-off, sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, disease prevalence, positive predicting value (PPV) and negative predicting value (NPV) of PCV, MCV, MCHC, RDW in prognostication of Diabetics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Disease Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCV(%) (cut off -45)</td>
<td>78.12</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>40.14</td>
<td>53.56</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>51.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV (fL) (cut off-91)</td>
<td>61.85</td>
<td>42.56</td>
<td>48.18</td>
<td>50.56</td>
<td>57.58</td>
<td>46.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC(g/dL) (cut off -32.5)</td>
<td>58.44</td>
<td>48.52</td>
<td>51.18</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>41.48</td>
<td>53.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW(%) (cut off-13)</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>38.94</td>
<td>52.66</td>
<td>46.99</td>
<td>47.59</td>
<td>55.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Correlation analysis between Red blood Cell count (RBC) and Random blood sugar (RBS) levels in the total sample.

Figure 2: Correlation analysis between Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration (MCHC) and Random blood sugar (RBS) levels in the total sample.
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Figure 3: Correlation analysis between red blood cell distribution width (RDW CV) and Random blood sugar (RBS) levels in the total sample.

Figure 4: Correlation analysis between packed cell volume (PCV HCT) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels in the total sample.

Figure 5: Correlation analysis between mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels in the total sample.
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MCHC, RDW distinguish patients with good (HbA1c≤6.5-6.9) and poor (HbA1c≥7%) glucoregulation.

This model showed that the significant value of MCHC and RDW were positively associated with the risk of inadequate glycemic control.

Discussion

Our study is the first to demonstrate a significantly positive association between MCH, MCHC, RDW with HbA1c independent of RBS levels in an adult general population with no history of diabetes and free of cardiovascular disease. HbA1c levels have also been shown to be associated with inflammation even in populations with no diabetes [10]. The RDW, a widely available and inexpensive test conducted as part of the complete blood cell count, measures the degree of anisocytosis. It is calculated as follows,

\[
\text{RDW-CV} = \left( \frac{\text{standard deviation of red blood cell volume}}{\text{mean cell volume}} \right) \times 100
\]

The normal range for the RDW-CV is 11.5% to 14.5% and higher values indicate greater in cell sizes. High RDW indicates a high degree of anisocytosis which is associated with distortion and degredation of erythropoiesis [37]. Reflecting chronic inflammation and an increased level of oxidative stress. Increased RDW also arise as a result of anemia. Otherwise, causes like iron deficiency and megaloblastic anemia with associated micro or macro cytosis are potential confounders because our patients were not screen for iron, vitamin 12, folic acid. Nevertheless, the effect of such confounders is negligible as all the participants selected for the study were healthy individuals and diabetes without complication or obvious co morbidities as at the time of the study. The effect of other causes of chronic inflammation for example tuberculosis, cancers and connective tissue disorders as confounders is similarly negligible. The life span of red blood cell could be decreased in diabetes patients. So, RBC’s are affected by various disturbances in the hematopoietic milieu. These disturbances lead as to elevated internal viscosity and increased membrane rigidity in these blood cells. So, RBC count is calculated as increase.

Our studies indicate that PCV decreased significantly in diabetic patients (HbA1c≥7.5) when compared with non diabetic patients (HbA1c≤6.5). The decreased in PCV value of diabetic patient may be due to the dehydration and accumulation of protein.

The result in this study showed significantly increased in MCH & MCHC, some
research have been suggested that anemia occurrence in diabetic mellitus is due to increased no enzymatic glycosylation of RBC membrane proteins, which correlated with hyperglycemic. Oxidation of these glycosylated membrane proteins and hyperglycemic in diabetes mellitus cause an increase in the production of lipid peroxides causing a hemolysis of RBCs or diabetes mellitus may cause anemia as secondary disorder.

Also in contrast to these studies MCHC and RDW had been reported as a predictive marker reflecting an underlying inflammatory state [38]. High RDW and MCHC were strongly associated with poor clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure, coronary artery disease [11,39], pulmonary hyper tension and peripheral arterial disease [40]. Increased RDW and MCH also associated with increased mortality in diabetic patients with coronary artery disease treated with percutaneous coronary intervention.

**Conclusion**

RBC count, PCV, MCV as an RBC index are down rising and MCHC, RDW are uprising as a new marker associated with higher mortality in health and disease. In diabetic patients, the glycemic control does affect the MCHC and RDW. Good glycemic control is associated with lower RDW and MHC value than in patients with poor control. Both MCHC & RDW are directly and significantly associated. This positive correlation may strengthen the notion that RDW is an inflammatory marker. The study in our population can be considered as an initial one that necessitates further studies to define the relation between RBC count, PCV, MCV, MCHC and RDW with different diabetic complications and its predictive value. Further studies are also required to define specific values of the RDW to indicate specific risks in diabetic patients.
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